

Existence results for the fractional Q-curvature problem on three dimensional CR sphere

Chungen Liu and Yafang Wang

ABSTRACT. In this paper the fractional Q-curvature problem on three dimensional CR sphere is considered. By using the critical points theory at infinity, an existence result is obtained.

1. Introduction and main result

The sphere $\mathbb{S}^{2n+1}$ is the boundary of the unit ball of $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$. It is a contact manifold with a standard contact form θ_1 . We denote by $(\mathbb{S}^{2n+1}, \theta)$ the contact sphere with its contact form θ . Let $K : \mathbb{S}^{2n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a C^2 positive function. The prescribed Webster scalar curvature problem on $\mathbb{S}^{2n+1}$ is to find suitable conditions on K such that K is the Webster scalar curvature for some contact form $\tilde{\theta}$ on $\mathbb{S}^{2n+1}$, CR equivalent to θ_1 . If we set $\tilde{\theta} = u^{\frac{2}{n}} \theta_1$, where u is a smooth positive function on $\mathbb{S}^{2n+1}$, then the above problem is equivalent to solving the following PDE:

$$\begin{cases} L_{\theta_1} u = K u^{1+\frac{2}{n}}, \\ u > 0, \end{cases}$$

where L_{θ_1} is the conformal Laplacian of $\mathbb{S}^{2n+1}$.

In recent years, fractional calculus has attracted a lot of mathematicians' interests. The CR fractional sub-Laplacian $P_\gamma^{\theta_1} (\gamma \in (0, 1))$ is defined by Rupert L. Frank, Mari a del Mar Gonzalez, Dario D. Monticelli, and Jinggang Tan in [22]. In the paper [22], it was shown that one can treat the CR fractional sub-Laplacian as a boundary operator. In [11], the CR fractional sub-Laplacian is viewed as intertwining operator.

On the CR sphere, the general intertwining operator $P_\gamma^{\theta_1}$ is defined by the following property:

$$|J_\tau|^{\frac{n+1+\gamma}{2n+2}} (P_\gamma^{\theta_1} \circ F) \circ \tau = P_\gamma^{\theta_1} \left(|J_\tau|^{\frac{n+1-\gamma}{2n+2}} (F \circ \tau) \right), \quad \forall \tau \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{S}^{2n+1})$$

for each $F \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^{2n+1})$.

The first author is partially supported by the NSF of China (11471170, 10621101), 973 Program of MOST (2011CB808002) and SRFDP.

Given another conformal representative $\tilde{\theta} = u^{\frac{2}{n+1-\gamma}} \theta_1$ which identifies the CR structure of $\mathbb{S}^{2n+1}$, the corresponding operator is defined by

$$P_{\gamma}^{\tilde{\theta}}(\phi) = u^{-\frac{n+1+\gamma}{n+1-\gamma}} P_{\gamma}^{\theta_1}(u\phi).$$

For $(\mathbb{S}^{2n+1}, \theta)$, we define its fractional Q-curvature as

$$Q_{\gamma}^{\theta} = P_{\gamma}^{\theta}(1).$$

Let $\phi = 1$,

$$P_{\gamma}^{\theta_1}(u) = u^{\frac{n+1+\gamma}{n+1-\gamma}} P_{\gamma}^{\tilde{\theta}}(1).$$

The fractional Q-curvature problem is that for a prescribed function K , whether there is a positive function u such that $Q_{\gamma}^{\tilde{\theta}} = K$ with $\tilde{\theta} = u^{\frac{2}{n+1-\gamma}} \theta_1$. This problem is equivalent to the existence of the following fractional nonlinear PDE:

$$P_{\gamma}^{\theta_1}u = Ku^{\frac{n+1+\gamma}{n+1-\gamma}}, \quad u > 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{S}^{2n+1}. \quad (1.1)$$

The scalar curvature problem for the Riemannian manifolds has been extensively studied, in dimension 2,3 (see [6],[16],[28]) as well as in high dimension ([9],[33]). Fractional scalar curvature problem for the Riemannian manifolds has been studied by [18],[17]. There are also many works on scalar curvature problem on CR manifolds, see [19],[25],[26],[36],[38]. In this paper, we study fractional Q-curvature problem on $\mathbb{S}^3$.

Let $\dot{\mathcal{S}}^{\gamma}(\mathbb{S}^{2n+1})$ be the closure of $C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{S}^{2n+1})$ with respect to the quadratic form

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{2n+1}} f P_{\gamma}^{\theta_1} f \theta_1 \wedge (d\theta_1)^n,$$

$$\Sigma = \{u \in \dot{\mathcal{S}}^{\gamma}(\mathbb{S}^{2n+1}) \mid \|u\| = \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{S}^{2n+1}} u P_{\gamma} u \theta_1 \wedge (d\theta_1)^n} = 1\} \text{ and } \Sigma^+ = \{u \in \Sigma \mid u \geq 0\}.$$

For $u \in \dot{\mathcal{S}}^{\gamma}(\mathbb{S}^{2n+1})$, we define

$$J(u) = \frac{\|u\|^2}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^{2n+1}} Ku^{\frac{Q}{n+1-\gamma}} \theta_1 \wedge (d\theta_1)^n\right)^{\frac{n+1-\gamma}{n+1}}}.$$

If u is a critical point of the function J in Σ^+ , then $v = (J(u))^{\frac{n+1}{2\gamma}} u$ is a solution of (1.1). However, the functional J does not satisfy the Palais-Smale condition, that is to say there exist critical points at infinity, which are the limits of noncompact orbits for the gradient flow of $-J$. Thinking of these sequences as critical points, a natural idea is to expand the functional J near the sets of such critical points.

In this paper we care the case $n = 1$. We state now the main result. If $K : \mathbb{S}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a C^2 positive function, we assume K satisfying condition:

$$\text{each critical point } \eta_i \text{ is a non degenerate critical point of } K \text{ and } \Delta_{\theta_1} K(\eta_i) \neq 0. \quad (1.2)$$

Denote

$$I^+ = \{\eta_i \in \mathbb{S}^3 : \nabla_{\theta_1} K(\eta_i) = 0, -\Delta_{\theta_1} K(\eta_i) > 0\}.$$

Assume that $\sharp I^+ = m$, $\sharp I^+$ is the cardinality of I^+ . For simplicity, we assume $I^+ = \{\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_m\}$. For any l -element subset $\{\eta_{i_1}, \dots, \eta_{i_l}\}$ of I^+ , $1 \leq l \leq m$, we define $\mu_{\tau_l} = \sum_{j=1}^l \text{ind}(K, \eta_{i_j})$ with $\tau_l = (i_1, \dots, i_l)$.

THEOREM 1.1. *Let $\frac{2}{3} \leq \gamma < 1$, assume K satisfies (1.2). Then the problem (1.1) has a solution provided*

$$\sum_{l=1}^m \sum_{\tau_l} (-1)^{\mu_{\tau_l}} \neq -1.$$

We will prove the theorem by contradiction in section 5. Therefore we assume that equation (1.1) has no solutions. Our proof is based on a technical Morse Lemma at infinity; it relies the construction of a suitable pseudogradient for J . The (PS) condition is satisfied along the decreasing flow lines of this pseudogradient, as long as these flow lines stay out of the neighborhood of a finite number of critical points of K . Finally we compute the contribution of some critical points at infinity to the changes of topology for the level set of the functional, from this we can achieve a contradiction.

This paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we introduce preliminary result and the general variational framework. In section 3, we give some expansions of the functional and its gradient near the sets of its critical points at infinity. In section 4, we establish the Morse lemma at infinity, which allows us to refine the expansion of the function. In section 5, we give a proof of Theorem 1.1. In Appendices A-C, we show some useful estimates which will be used in our proof of Theorem 1.1.

2. Preliminary results

The Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}^1$ is a Lie group whose underlying manifold is $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ with elements $u = (x, y, t)$ and whose group law is

$$u \circ u' = (x, y, t) \circ (x', y', t') = (x + x', y + y', t + t' + 2(x'y - xy')).$$

Alternatively, we can use complex coordinates $z = x + iy$ to denote elements of $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \simeq \mathbb{C}$, so that the group law can be written as

$$(z', t') \circ (z, t) = (z' + z, t' + t + 2\text{Im} \langle z', z \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}),$$

for $(z', t'), (z, t) \in \mathbb{H}^1$, and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the standard Hermitian inner product in $\mathbb{C}$.

The CR sphere $\mathbb{S}^{2n+1} = \{\zeta = (\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}, |\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} |\zeta_j|^2 = 1\}$. The standard Euclidean volume element of $\mathbb{S}^{2n+1}$ is denoted by $d\zeta$.

We introduce Cayley transform $\mathcal{C}$ between the Heisenberg group and the CR sphere.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C} : \mathbb{H}^n &\rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{2n+1} \setminus (0, 0, \dots, -1), \\ (z, t) &\mapsto \left(\frac{2z}{1 + |z|^2 + it}, \frac{1 + |z|^2 - it}{1 + |z|^2 + it} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The inverse is given by

$$\mathcal{C}^{-1} : \mathbb{S}^{2n+1} \setminus (0, 0, \dots, -1) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^n,$$

$$\zeta = (\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{n+1}) \mapsto \left(\frac{\zeta_1}{1 + \zeta_{n+1}}, \dots, \frac{\zeta_n}{1 + \zeta_{n+1}}, \operatorname{Im} \frac{1 - \zeta_{n+1}}{1 + \zeta_{n+1}} \right).$$

The Jacobian determinant of $\mathcal{C}$ is

$$|\operatorname{Jac}(z, t)| = \frac{2^{2n+1}}{((1 + |z|^2)^2 + t^2)^{n+1}}.$$

For any $\lambda > 0$ the dilation $\lambda : \mathbb{H}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^1$ is defined by $\lambda u = \lambda(x, y, t) = (\lambda x, \lambda y, \lambda^2 t)$ and we denote the homogeneous norm on $\mathbb{H}^1$ by $|u| = |(x, y, t)| = ((x^2 + y^2)^2 + t^2)^{1/4}$. The CR structure on $\mathbb{H}^1$ is given by the left invariant vector field:

$$X = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + 2y \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad Y = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - 2x \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad T = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}.$$

The standard contact form $\theta_0 = dt + 2(xdy - ydx)$. Haar measure on $\mathbb{H}^1$ is the Lebesgue measure $du = dx dy dt$. Denote $\nabla_{\theta_0} = (X, Y, T)$. In $\mathbb{H}^1$, Taylor polynomials can be written in a special symmetric form. The expansions are similar to Taylor expansions in $\mathbb{R}^n$ but are adjusted to compensate for the different Heisenberg structure. The following formula from [10] gives the Taylor expansions based at the origin. Let $f : \mathbb{H}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a C^2 functions. Let the origin be denoted by 0, $p = (x, y, t)$ be an arbitrary point around 0. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} f(p) = & f(0) + x(Xf)(0) + y(Yf)(0) + t(Tf)(0) + \frac{x^2}{2}(X^2f)(0) + \frac{y^2}{2}(Y^2f)(0) \\ & + \frac{xy}{2}(XYf)(0) + \frac{xy}{2}(YXf)(0) + o(|p|^2). \end{aligned}$$

The sub-Laplacian on $\mathbb{H}^1$ is the second order differential operator

$$\Delta_{\theta_0} = \frac{1}{4}(X^2 + Y^2).$$

On the CR sphere, the standard contact form $\theta_1 = i \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} (\zeta_j d\bar{\zeta}_j - \bar{\zeta}_j d\zeta_j)$, the sub-gradient is ∇_{θ_1} and the sub-Laplacian is defined as

$$\Delta_{\theta_1} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} (T_j \bar{T}_j + \bar{T}_j T_j),$$

where

$$T_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_j} - \bar{\zeta}_j R, \quad R = \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \zeta_k \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_k}.$$

The conformal sub-Laplacian on the sphere is defined as

$$L_{\theta_1} = \Delta_{\theta_1} + \frac{1}{4}.$$

The peculiarity of L_{θ_1} is its direct relation with Δ_{θ_0} via the Cayley transform:

$$\Delta_{\theta_0} \left((2|Jac|)^{\frac{1}{4}} (F \circ \mathcal{C}) \right) = (2|Jac|)^{\frac{3}{4}} (L_{\theta_1} F) \circ \mathcal{C}, \quad (2.1)$$

where $F : \mathbb{S}^{2n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a smooth function.

The differences between the standard volume elements for $\mathbb{S}^{2n+1}$ and $\mathbb{H}^n$ and the volume forms associated with the standard contact forms θ_1 , and θ_0 of these two spaces state as:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{2n+1}} F \theta_1 \wedge (d\theta_1)^n &= 2^{2n+1} n! \int_{\mathbb{S}^{2n+1}} F \, d\zeta \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} 2|Jac| F \circ \mathcal{C} \theta_0 \wedge (d\theta_0)^n \\ &= 2^{2n} n! \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} 2|Jac| F \circ \mathcal{C} \, du. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

We refer [11] for details.

We consider the CR fractional operators of order 2γ . For $\gamma \in (0, 1)$, the symbol of the operator on $\mathbb{H}^n$ is

$$P_{\gamma}^{\theta_0} = (2|T|)^{\gamma} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1+\gamma}{2} + \frac{\Delta_{\theta_0}}{2|T|}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1-\gamma}{2} + \frac{\Delta_{\theta_0}}{2|T|}\right)}.$$

In particular, for $\gamma = 1$, $P_1^{\theta_0} = \Delta_b$ is the CR Yamabe operator on $\mathbb{H}^n$.

$P_{\gamma}^{\theta_0}$ also satisfy (setting $Q = 2n + 2$ and $d = 2\gamma$)

$$|J_h|^{\frac{Q+d}{2Q}} (P_{\gamma}^{\theta_0} \circ f) \circ h = P_{\gamma}^{\theta_0} (|J_h|^{\frac{Q-d}{2Q}} (f \circ h)), \quad \forall h \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{H}^n),$$

for each $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{H}^n)$.

On the CR sphere, the general intertwining operator $P_{\gamma}^{\theta_1}$ is defined by the following property:

$$|J_{\tau}|^{\frac{Q+d}{2Q}} (P_{\gamma}^{\theta_1} \circ F) \circ \tau = P_{\gamma}^{\theta_1} \left(|J_{\tau}|^{\frac{Q-d}{2Q}} (F \circ \tau) \right), \quad \forall \tau \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{S}^{2n+1}),$$

for each $F \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{S}^{2n+1})$.

Thus we have

$$P_{\gamma}^{\theta_0} \left((2|Jac|)^{\frac{Q-d}{2Q}} (F \circ \mathcal{C}) \right) = (2|Jac|)^{\frac{Q+d}{2Q}} (P_{\gamma}^{\theta_1} F) \circ \mathcal{C}. \quad (2.3)$$

For more details, we refer to the well written paper [22] and [11].

It was proved by [24] that on $\mathbb{H}^n$: for $q = \frac{Q}{n+1-\gamma}$ and any function $f \in \dot{\mathcal{S}}^{\gamma}(\mathbb{H}^n)$, it holds that

$$\|f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{H}^n)}^2 \leq \frac{2^{n-\gamma-1-\frac{2(n-1)\gamma}{Q}} (\pi^{n+1})^{2\gamma/Q-1} \Gamma((Q-2\gamma)/2) \Gamma^2((Q-2\gamma)/4)}{(n!)^{2\gamma/Q-1} \Gamma^2((Q-\gamma)/2) \Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} f P_{\gamma} f \, du \quad (2.4)$$

and all optimizers are translates, dilates or constant multiples of the function

$$\delta(u) = \delta(z, t) = \left(\frac{1}{(1 + |z|^2)^2 + t^2} \right)^{\frac{Q-2\gamma}{4}}.$$

We know that, for $\lambda > 0$, $a \in \mathbb{H}^1$ and some suitable choice of $c_0 = C(\gamma) > 0$, the function

$$\delta_{a,\lambda}(u) = c_0 \lambda^{2-\gamma} \delta(\lambda(a^{-1}u)) \quad (2.5)$$

satisfies the Euler-Lagrangian equation for

$$P_\gamma^{\theta_0} u = u^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma}}, \quad u > 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{H}^1. \quad (2.6)$$

(2.6) is the fractional CR Yamabe equation introduced in [22]. (2.5) indicates that (2.6) is invariant under the scaling and translations.

We introduce the function for each $(\zeta_0, \lambda) \in \mathbb{S}^{2n+1} \times (0, +\infty)$,

$$w_{\zeta_0,\lambda}(\zeta) = |1 + \zeta_{n+1}|^{-(2-\gamma)} \delta_{\mathcal{C}^{-1}(\zeta_0),\lambda} \circ \mathcal{C}^{-1}(\zeta). \quad (2.7)$$

Using (2.2) and (2.3), we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^3} w_{\zeta_0,\lambda}^{\frac{2-\gamma}{4}} \theta_1 \wedge d\theta_1 = \int_{\mathbb{H}^1} \delta_{a_0,\lambda}^{\frac{2-\gamma}{4}} \theta_0 \wedge d\theta_0,$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^3} w_{\zeta_0,\lambda} P_\gamma^{\theta_1} w_{\zeta_0,\lambda} \theta_1 \wedge d\theta_1 = \int_{\mathbb{H}^1} \delta_{a_0,\lambda} P_\gamma^{\theta_0} \delta_{a_0,\lambda} \theta_0 \wedge d\theta_0,$$

where $a_0 = \mathcal{C}^{-1}(\zeta_0)$, $\xi = \mathcal{C}^{-1}(\zeta)$. We also have $P_\gamma^{\theta_1} w_{\zeta_0,\lambda} = w_{\zeta_0,\lambda}^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma}}$.

For any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $p \geq 1$, we set

$$(\alpha, g, \lambda) = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p, g_1, \dots, g_p, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p) \in (0, +\infty)^p \times (\mathbb{S}^3)^p \times (0, +\infty)^p,$$

$$\varepsilon_{ij} = \left(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j} + \frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i} + \lambda_i \lambda_j d(g_i, g_j)^2 \right)^{-(2-\gamma)}.$$

Let $V(p, \varepsilon)$ be the subset of Σ^+ of the following functions: $u \in \Sigma^+$, $\exists(\alpha, g, \lambda)$, such that

$$\|u - \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i}\| < \varepsilon$$

and $|J(u)^{\frac{2}{2-\gamma}} \alpha_i^{\frac{2\gamma}{2-\gamma}} K(g_i) - 1| < \varepsilon$, $\varepsilon_{ij} < \varepsilon$, $\lambda_i > \varepsilon^{-1}$. The set $V(p, \varepsilon)$ has a simple interpretation: It is a neighborhood of the critical points at infinity of the functional J on Σ^+ .

DEFINITION 2.1. [3] *We will say that the Palais-Smale condition holds on flow-lines in the $V(p, \varepsilon)$ if, taking an initial data u_0 in $V(p, \varepsilon)$, with ε_0 small enough (but fixed), the solution $u(s, u_0)$ of the differential equation $\frac{\partial u}{\partial s} = -\partial J(u)$ with initial data u_0 remains outside a $V(p, \varepsilon_1)$, $\varepsilon_1 > 0$, which depends only on u_0 .*

The failure of (PS)condition is characterized as follows.

LEMMA 2.1. *Assume that (1.1) has no solutions. Let $\{u_k\} \subseteq \Sigma^+$ be a sequence such that $J'(u_k) \rightarrow 0$ and $J(u_k)$ is bounded. Then there exists an integer $p \geq 1$, a positive sequence $\varepsilon_k \rightarrow 0$ and an extracted subsequence of $\{u_k\}$, such that $u_k \in V(p, \varepsilon_k)$.*

In order to prove Lemma 2.1, we introduce

$$I(u) = \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^2 - \frac{2-\gamma}{4} \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} K u^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}} \theta_1 \wedge d\theta_1.$$

Note that $J'(u_k) \rightarrow 0$ if and only if $I'(J(u_k)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} u_k) \rightarrow 0$. Then we can follow the first part of [12] by using the functional I . The proof is by now classical, we can also see [27].

We introduce the minimization problem for ε small enough

$$\min_{u \in V(p, \varepsilon)} \left\{ \|u - \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i}\|, \alpha_i > 0, g_i \in \mathbb{S}^3, \lambda_i > 0 \right\}. \quad (2.8)$$

LEMMA 2.2. *For any $p \geq 1$, there exists $\varepsilon_p > 0$ such that for any $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_p$ and $u \in V(p, \varepsilon)$, the minimization problem (2.8) has a unique solution $(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{g}, \bar{\lambda})$. Denoting $v = u - \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i}$, v satisfies*

$$\begin{cases} \langle v, w_{g_i, \lambda_i} \rangle = 0 \\ \langle v, \frac{\partial w_{g_i, \lambda_i}}{\partial \lambda_i} \rangle = 0 \\ \langle v, \frac{\partial w_{g_i, \lambda_i}}{\partial g_i} \rangle = 0 \end{cases} \quad i = 1, \dots, p. \quad (2.9)$$

Here $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denote the inner product in $\dot{\mathcal{S}}^\gamma(\mathbb{S}^3)$ defined by

$$\langle u, v \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} u P_\gamma^{\theta_1} v \theta_1 \wedge d\theta_1.$$

The proof of Lemma 2.2 follows from Appendix A in [5] with some modulations.

3. The expansion of the function J

LEMMA 3.1. *If $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough and $u = \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i} + v \in V(p, \varepsilon)$, v satisfies (2.9), we have*

$$\begin{aligned} J(u) = & \frac{\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i^2 S}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}} K(g_i) \right)^{\frac{2-\gamma}{2}}} \left[1 - \frac{2-\gamma}{2} \frac{c_2}{S^{\frac{2}{\gamma}}} \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{\alpha_i^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}} \Delta_{\theta_1} K(g_i)}{\sum_{k=1}^p \alpha_k^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}} K(g_k) \lambda_i^2} \right. \\ & \left. + (f, v) + Q(v, v) + O'(\sum_{i \neq j} \varepsilon_{ij}) + o\left(\sum_{i=1}^p \frac{1}{\lambda_i^2}\right) + o(\|v\|^2) \right], \end{aligned}$$

with

$$(f, v) = \frac{-2}{\sum_{k=1}^p \alpha_k^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}} K(g_k) S^{\frac{2}{\gamma}}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} K(\zeta) \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i} \right)^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma}} v \theta_1 \wedge d\theta_1,$$

$$Q(v, v) = \frac{1}{\sum_{k=1}^p \alpha_k^2 S^{\frac{2}{\gamma}}} \|v\|^2 - \frac{2 + \gamma}{(2 - \gamma) S^{\frac{2}{\gamma}} \sum_{k=1}^p \alpha_k^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}} K(g_k)} \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} K(\zeta) \sum_{i=1}^p (\alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i})^{\frac{2\gamma}{2-\gamma}} v^2.$$

Furthermore $\|f\|$ is bounded by

$$\|f\| = O \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \left(\frac{|\nabla_{\theta_1} K(g_i)|}{\lambda_i} + \frac{1}{\lambda_i^2} \right) + \sum_{i \neq j} \varepsilon_{ij} (\ln \varepsilon_{ij}^{-1})^{\frac{2-\gamma}{2}} \right).$$

The proof of this lemma is provided in Appendix A.

Now, we state the following two lemmas whose proof follow the arguments used to prove similar statements in [6] (also in [3]); see the Appendix of [26] where some necessary modifications are made.

LEMMA 3.2. $Q(v, v)$ is a quadratic form positively definite in

$$H_\varepsilon(\lambda, a) = \{v \in \dot{\mathcal{S}}^\gamma(\mathbb{S}^3) \mid v \text{ satisfies (2.9), } \|v\| \leq \varepsilon\}.$$

One can follow the idea of the proof of Lemma A.2 in [6] to get a proof of this lemma. We omit the details.

LEMMA 3.3. For any $u_0 = \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i} \in V(p, \varepsilon)$, there exists a unique $\bar{v} = \bar{v}(\alpha, g, \lambda)$ which minimizes $J(u_0 + v)$ with respect to $v \in H_\varepsilon(\lambda, a)$ and we have estimate $\|\bar{v}\| = O(\|f\|)$.

For a proof of Lemma 3.3, one may follow the idea and similar estimates in the proof of Proposition 5.4 in [3](P191). We omit the details.

Since $\bar{v}$ is a minimizer, we have

$$(f, \bar{v}) + 2Q(\bar{v}, \bar{v}) + o(\|\bar{v}\|^2) = 0.$$

It yields

$$(f, v) + Q(v, v) + o(\|v\|^2) = Q(v - \bar{v}, v - \bar{v}) - Q(\bar{v}, \bar{v}) + o(\|\bar{v}\|^2).$$

From Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.3, we state the following lemma which improve the asymptotic behavior of the function J .

LEMMA 3.4. For any $p \geq 1$, there exists $\varepsilon_p > 0$ such that for $u = \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i} + v$, $v \in H_\varepsilon(\lambda, a)$, we have

$$J(u) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i^2 S}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}} K(g_i) \right)^{\frac{2-\gamma}{2}}} \left[1 - \frac{2 - \gamma}{2} \frac{c_2}{S^{\frac{2}{\gamma}}} \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{\alpha_i^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}} \Delta_{\theta_1} K(g_i)}{\sum_{k=1}^p \alpha_k^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}} K(g_k) \lambda_i^2} \right. \\ \left. + Q(v - \bar{v}, v - \bar{v}) - Q(\bar{v}, \bar{v}) + o(\|\bar{v}\|^2) + O\left(\sum_{i \neq j} \varepsilon_{ij}\right) + o\left(\sum_{i=1}^p \frac{1}{\lambda_i^2}\right) \right].$$

LEMMA 3.5. Assume K be a C^2 positive function satisfying condition (1.2). For any $u = \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i} \in V(p, \varepsilon)$, the following estimate holds

$$J'(u) \left(\lambda_j \frac{\partial w_{g_j, \lambda_j}}{\partial \lambda_j} \right) = 2\lambda(u) \left[- \sum_{i \neq j} O'(\lambda_j \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{ij}}{\partial \lambda_j}) + \frac{2-\gamma}{4} c_2 \alpha_j \frac{\Delta_{\theta_1} K(g_j)}{K(g_j) \lambda_j^2} o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_j^2}\right) + o\left(\sum_{i \neq j} \varepsilon_{ij}\right) \right], \quad (3.1)$$

$$J'(u) \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_j} \frac{\partial w_{g_j, \lambda_j}}{\partial g_j} \right) = -2\lambda(u) \alpha_j c_2 \frac{\nabla_{\theta_1} K(g_j)}{K(g_j) \lambda_j} + O \left(\sum_{i \neq j} \varepsilon_{ij} + \frac{1}{\lambda_j^2} \right). \quad (3.2)$$

We will give the proof in Appendix B and Appendix C.

4. Morse lemma at infinity

This section is devoted to characterize the critical points at infinity associated to problem (1.1). The characterization is obtained through the construction of a suitable pseudogradient at infinity in the set $V(p, \varepsilon)$, depending on a delicate expansion of the gradient of J near infinity.

THEOREM 4.1. There is a covering $\{O_l\}$ and a subset of $\{(\alpha_l, g_l, \lambda_l)\}$ of the base space for the bundle $V(p, \varepsilon)$ and a diffeomorphism $\xi_l : V(p, \varepsilon) \rightarrow V(p, \varepsilon')$ for some $\varepsilon' > 0$ with

$$\xi_l \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i} + \bar{v}(\alpha, g, \lambda) \right) = \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{\tilde{g}_i, \tilde{\lambda}_i}$$

such that

$$J \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i} + v \right) = J \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{\tilde{g}_i, \tilde{\lambda}_i} \right) + \frac{1}{2} J'' \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i} + \bar{v}(\alpha, g, \lambda) \right) V_l \cdot V_l, \quad (4.1)$$

where $(\alpha, g, \lambda) \in O_l$, $(\alpha, \tilde{g}, \tilde{\lambda})$ not depending on O_l , V_l orthogonal to $w_{\tilde{g}_i, \tilde{\lambda}_i}$, $\frac{\partial w_{\tilde{g}_i, \tilde{\lambda}_i}}{\partial \tilde{\lambda}_i}$, $\frac{\partial w_{\tilde{g}_i, \tilde{\lambda}_i}}{\partial \tilde{g}_i}$.

The proof of this theorem need some technical result. First we give the Morse lemma at infinity by isolating the contribution of $v - \bar{v}$.

LEMMA 4.1. For any $\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{\tilde{g}_i, \tilde{\lambda}_i} \in V(p, \varepsilon)$, let

$$(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{g}, \bar{\lambda}) = (\bar{\alpha}_1, \dots, \bar{\alpha}_p, \bar{g}_1, \dots, \bar{g}_p, \bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_p),$$

there is a neighborhood U of $(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{g}, \bar{\lambda})$ such that

$$J \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i} + v \right) = J \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i} + \bar{v}(\alpha, g, \lambda) \right) + \frac{1}{2} J'' \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \bar{\alpha}_i w_{\tilde{g}_i, \tilde{\lambda}_i} + \bar{v}(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{g}, \bar{\lambda}) \right) V \cdot V$$

for any $u = \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i} + v \in V(p, \varepsilon)$ with $(\alpha, g, \lambda) \in U$, where $V = V(\alpha, g, \lambda, v)$ is a C^1 diffeomorphism that has range orthogonal to

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^p \left\{ \delta_{g'_i, \lambda'_i}, \frac{\partial \delta_{g'_i, \lambda'_i}}{\partial \lambda'_i}, \frac{\partial \delta_{g'_i, \lambda'_i}}{\partial g'_i} \right\}$$

for any $(\alpha', g', \lambda') \in U$ and $\|V\| = O(\|v - \bar{v}(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{g}, \bar{\lambda})\|)$.

The proof of Lemma 4.1 is similar to the proof of Lemma 3.2 in [9] for the Riemannian manifold.

LEMMA 4.2. *Let $K \in C^2(\mathbb{S}^3)$ be a positive function satisfying condition (1.2). For any $u = \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i} \in V(p, \varepsilon)$, ε small enough, Then there exists a vector field W' so that the following holds: there is a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$-J'(u)(W') \geq C_1 \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \left(\frac{|\nabla_{\theta_1} K(g_i)|}{\lambda_i} + \frac{1}{\lambda_i^2} \right) + \sum_{i \neq j} \varepsilon_{ij} \right), \quad (4.2)$$

$$-J'(u + \bar{v}) \left(W' + \frac{\partial \bar{v}}{\partial(\alpha, g, \lambda)}(W') \right) \geq C_1 \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \left(\frac{|\nabla_{\theta_1} K(g_i)|}{\lambda_i} + \frac{1}{\lambda_i^2} \right) + \sum_{i \neq j} \varepsilon_{ij} \right), \quad (4.3)$$

where C_1 is a positive constant, $\|W'\|$ is bounded.

We remind that $\frac{\partial \bar{v}}{\partial(\alpha, g, \lambda)}(W) = \frac{\partial \bar{v}}{\partial W}$ means the variation of $\bar{v}$ along the direction W , where $W = W_\alpha \delta \alpha + W_g \cdot \delta g + W_\lambda \delta \lambda$ is an increment in the $(\alpha_i, g_i, \lambda_i)$ space.

We define a set I_i for all $i \in \{1, \dots, p\}$. We divide it in three cases:

(1) For all $i \in \{1, \dots, p\}$, there exists a suitable constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{j \neq i} \varepsilon_{ij} \leq \frac{C}{\lambda_i^2}. \quad (4.4)$$

In this case, we define $I_i = \emptyset$ the empty set.

(2) λ_i is the largest concentration with

$$\sum_{j \neq i} \varepsilon_{ij} > \frac{C}{\lambda_i^2}, \quad (4.5)$$

then in this case we define $I_i = \{i\}$.

(3) λ_i satisfies (4.5), but it is not the largest concentration, i.e., we have another j such that $\lambda_j > \lambda_i$ and λ_j satisfies (4.5). In this case we define

$$I_i = \left\{ k \mid \lambda_k \geq \lambda_i, \sum_{j \neq k} \varepsilon_{kj} > \frac{C}{\lambda_k^2} \right\}. \quad (4.6)$$

Suppose $I_i = \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m\}$ such that $k_1 = i$ and $\lambda_{k_1} \leq \lambda_{k_2} \leq \dots \leq \lambda_{k_m}$. We define $\mu_{k_s} = 2^{s-1}$, $s = 1, \dots, m$.

Claim. There holds

$$\left| J'(u) \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_i} \frac{\partial w_{g_i, \lambda_i}}{\partial g_i} \right) \right| + \frac{c'}{c} \sum_{k \in I_i} \mu_k J'(u) \left(\lambda_k \frac{\partial w_{g_k, \lambda_k}}{\partial \lambda_k} \right) \geq c \frac{|\nabla_{\theta_1} K(g_i)|}{\lambda_i} - \frac{1}{c} \frac{1}{\lambda_i^2}, \quad (4.7)$$

where c is the constant in (3.2) and $c' > 0$ is a suitable constant.

Proof of the claim. In the case (1), by (3.2), we have

$$\left| J'(u) \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_i} \frac{\partial w_{g_i, \lambda_i}}{\partial g_i} \right) \right| \geq c \frac{|\nabla_{\theta_1} K(g_i)|}{\lambda_i} - \frac{1}{c} \left(\sum_{j \neq i} \varepsilon_{ij} + \frac{1}{\lambda_i^2} \right) \geq c \frac{|\nabla_{\theta_1} K(g_i)|}{\lambda_i} - \frac{1}{c} \frac{1}{\lambda_i^2}. \quad (4.8)$$

In the case (2), then for $\lambda_j > \lambda_i$, there holds

$$\sum_{k \neq j} \varepsilon_{kj} \leq \frac{C}{\lambda_j^2}. \quad (4.9)$$

Notice that

$$\lambda_i \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{ij}}{\partial \lambda_i} = -(2 - \gamma) \varepsilon_{ij} \left(1 - 2 \frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i} \varepsilon_{ij}^{\frac{1}{2-\gamma}} \right). \quad (4.10)$$

If λ_i and λ_j are comparable or $\lambda_j \leq \lambda_i$ (in this case, $|\lambda_j/\lambda_i| \leq C$), then

$$\lambda_i \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{ij}}{\partial \lambda_i} = -(2 - \gamma) \varepsilon_{ij} (1 + o(1)). \quad (4.11)$$

If they are not comparable, say $\lambda_i = o(\lambda_j)$, then

$$\left| \lambda_i \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{ij}}{\partial \lambda_i} \right| = O(\varepsilon_{ij}) \leq \frac{C}{\lambda_j^2} = o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^2}\right). \quad (4.12)$$

Thus by (4.5), there holds

$$-\sum_{j \neq i} \lambda_i \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{ij}}{\partial \lambda_i} \geq \frac{2 - \gamma}{2} \sum_{j \neq i} \varepsilon_{ij} \geq \frac{2 - \gamma}{2} \frac{C}{\lambda_i^2}. \quad (4.13)$$

Hence, by choosing a large C , it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} J'(u) \left(\lambda_i \frac{\partial w_{g_i, \lambda_i}}{\partial \lambda_i} \right) &= 2\lambda(u) \left[O' \left(-\sum_{j \neq i} \lambda_i \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{ij}}{\partial \lambda_i} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{2 - \gamma}{4} c_2 \alpha_i \frac{\Delta_{\theta_1} K(g_i)}{K(g_i) \lambda_i^2} (1 + o(1)) + o\left(\sum_{j \neq i} \varepsilon_{ij}\right) \right] \\ &\geq C_0 \sum_{j \neq i} \varepsilon_{ij}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

where $C_0 > 0$ is a constant depending on γ . Then from the first inequality of (4.8) and (4.14), we have

$$\left| J'(u) \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_i} \frac{\partial w_{g_i, \lambda_i}}{\partial g_i} \right) \right| + \frac{c'}{c} J'(u) \left(\lambda_i \frac{\partial w_{g_i, \lambda_i}}{\partial \lambda_i} \right) \geq c \frac{|\nabla_{\theta_1} K(g_i)|}{\lambda_i} - \frac{1}{c} \frac{1}{\lambda_i^2}, \quad c' = \frac{1}{C_0}. \quad (4.15)$$

In the case (3), by a simple computation, we observe that for $s > t$,

$$-\mu_{k_s} \lambda_{k_s} \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{k_s k_t}}{\partial \lambda_{k_s}} - \mu_{k_t} \lambda_{k_t} \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{k_s k_t}}{\partial \lambda_{k_t}} \geq \frac{2-\gamma}{2} \varepsilon_{k_s k_t} (1 + o(1)). \quad (4.16)$$

By using (3.1) and choosing a constant c' which depends on γ , there holds

$$\left| J'(u) \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_i} \frac{\partial w_{g_i, \lambda_i}}{\partial g_i} \right) \right| + \frac{c'}{c} \sum_{s=1}^m \mu_{k_s} J'(u) \left(\lambda_{k_s} \frac{\partial w_{a_{k_s}, \lambda_{k_s}}}{\partial \lambda_{k_s}} \right) \geq c \frac{|\nabla_{\theta_1} K(g_i)|}{\lambda_i} - \frac{1}{c} \frac{1}{\lambda_i^2}. \quad (4.17)$$

For a proof of (4.17), from (3.1), (4.10) and that the functional $\lambda(u)$ has positive lower bound, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k \in I_i} \mu_k J'(u) \left(\lambda_k \frac{\partial w_{g_k, \lambda_k}}{\partial \lambda_k} \right) \\ &= \sum_{k \in I_i} \mu_k 2\lambda(u) \left[- \sum_{j \neq k} O'(\lambda_k \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{kj}}{\partial \lambda_k}) + \frac{2-\gamma}{4} c_2 \alpha_k \frac{\Delta_{\theta_1} K(g_k)}{K(g_k) \lambda_k^2} + o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_k^2}\right) + o\left(\sum_{j \neq k} \varepsilon_{kj}\right) \right] \\ &\geq c_\gamma \sum_{k \in I_i} \sum_{j \neq k} \left(-\mu_k \lambda_k \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{kj}}{\partial \lambda_k} \right) + \sum_{k \in I_i} \mu_k \lambda(u) \left(\frac{2-\gamma}{4} c_2 \alpha_k \frac{\Delta_{\theta_1} K(g_k)}{K(g_k) \lambda_k^2} \right) \\ &= \sum_{k \in I_i, j \in I_i} \sum_{j \neq k} c_\gamma \left(-\mu_k \lambda_k \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{kj}}{\partial \lambda_k} - \mu_j \lambda_j \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{kj}}{\partial \lambda_j} \right) + \sum_{k \in I_i, j \notin I_i} c_\gamma \left(-\mu_k \lambda_k \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{kj}}{\partial \lambda_k} \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k \in I_i} \mu_k \lambda(u) \left(\frac{2-\gamma}{4} c_2 \alpha_k \frac{\Delta_{\theta_1} K(g_k)}{K(g_k) \lambda_k^2} \right) \\ &\geq c_\gamma \sum_{k \in I_i, j \in I_i} \sum_{j \neq k} \varepsilon_{kj} + \sum_{k \in I_i} \mu_k \left(\sum_{\lambda_j \leq \lambda_k \text{ or } \lambda_i \sim \lambda_j} \left(-\lambda_k \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{kj}}{\partial \lambda_k} \right) + \sum_{\lambda_k = o(\lambda_j), j \notin I_i} \left(-\lambda_k \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{kj}}{\partial \lambda_k} \right) \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k \in I_i} \mu_k \lambda(u) \left(\frac{2-\gamma}{4} c_2 \alpha_k \frac{\Delta_{\theta_1} K(g_k)}{K(g_k) \lambda_k^2} \right) \\ &\geq \frac{c_\gamma}{2} \sum_{k \in I_i} \sum_{j \neq k} \varepsilon_{kj} \geq \frac{c_\gamma}{2} \sum_{k \in I_i} \frac{C}{\lambda_k^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $0 < c_\gamma < 1$. In the last two estimates, we have used the inequalities (4.11) and (4.12) and choose the constant C in (4.9) large enough. $\lambda_i \sim \lambda_j$ means that λ_i and λ_j are comparable. It completes the the proof of claim.

Proof of Lemma 4.2. For the sake of simplicity, we assume

$$\lambda_1 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_p.$$

We note that when we construct the vector field W' satisfying the estimate (4.2), then by the same method as in [8] and [9], we can prove (4.3). So in the following we only need to construct the vector field W' satisfies the inequality (4.2). We divide it into four cases.

Case 1. Suppose there holds

$$\frac{|\nabla_{\theta_1} K(g_1)|}{\lambda_1} > \frac{2}{c^2 \lambda_1^2}. \quad (4.18)$$

In this case, by (4.7) we have

$$\left| J'(u) \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_1} \frac{\partial w_{g_1, \lambda_1}}{\partial g_1} \right) \right| + \frac{c'}{c} \sum_{k \in I_1} \mu_k J'(u) \left(\lambda_k \frac{\partial w_{g_k, \lambda_k}}{\partial \lambda_k} \right) \geq \frac{c}{4} \frac{|\nabla_{\theta_1} K(g_1)|}{\lambda_1} + \frac{1}{4c} \frac{1}{\lambda_1^2}. \quad (4.19)$$

Combined with (4.17), (4.19) and (3.1), for any i , we reach

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_i &:= J'(u) \left(\lambda_i \frac{\partial w_{g_i, \lambda_i}}{\partial \lambda_i} \right) + \left| J'(u) \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_i} \frac{\partial w_{g_i, \lambda_i}}{\partial g_i} \right) \right| + \frac{\tilde{c}}{c} \sum_{k \in I_i} \mu_k J'(u) \left(\lambda_k \frac{\partial w_{g_k, \lambda_k}}{\partial \lambda_k} \right) \\ &\quad + 4 \left(\left| J'(u) \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_1} \frac{\partial w_{g_1, \lambda_1}}{\partial g_1} \right) \right| + \frac{\tilde{c}}{c} \sum_{k \in I_1} \mu_k J'(u) \left(\lambda_k \frac{\partial w_{g_k, \lambda_k}}{\partial \lambda_k} \right) \right) \\ &\geq - \sum_{j \neq i} O'(\lambda_i \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{ij}}{\partial \lambda_i}) + \frac{B}{\lambda_i^2} (1 + o(1)) + o(\sum_{j \neq i} \varepsilon_{ij}) + c \frac{|\nabla_{\theta_1} K(g_i)|}{\lambda_i} - \frac{1}{c} \frac{1}{\lambda_i^2} \\ &\quad + 4 \left(\frac{c}{4} \frac{|\nabla_{\theta_1} K(g_1)|}{\lambda_1} + \frac{1}{4c} \frac{1}{\lambda_1^2} \right) \\ &\geq - \sum_{j \neq i} O'(\lambda_i \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{ij}}{\partial \lambda_i}) + \frac{B}{\lambda_i^2} + c \frac{|\nabla_{\theta_1} K(g_i)|}{\lambda_i} + o(\sum_{j \neq i} \varepsilon_{ij}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

Here and in sequel we denote $B > 0$ a constant which may vary in different places, We define $\nu_k = 2^{k-1}$. By simple computation, we have

$$- \left(\nu_j \lambda_j \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{ij}}{\partial \lambda_j} + \nu_i \lambda_i \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{ij}}{\partial \lambda_i} \right) \geq D_\gamma \varepsilon_{ij}, \text{ if } j > i, \quad D_\gamma = \frac{2 - \gamma}{2}.$$

So we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^p \nu_i \Gamma_i \geq B \sum_{i \neq j} \varepsilon_{ij} + \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{B}{\lambda_i^2} + B \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{|\nabla_{\theta_1} K(g_i)|}{\lambda_i}. \quad (4.21)$$

This can be rewritten in the following form

$$J'(u) \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \gamma_i \lambda_i \frac{\partial w_{g_i, \lambda_i}}{\partial \lambda_i} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^p \beta_i \left| J'(u) \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_i} \frac{\partial w_{g_i, \lambda_i}}{\partial g_i} \right) \right| \geq B \left(\sum_{i \neq j} \varepsilon_{ij} + \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{1}{\lambda_i^2} + \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{|\nabla_{\theta_1} K(g_i)|}{\lambda_i} \right), \quad (4.22)$$

where γ_i, β_i are bounded nonnegative constants depending on μ_i, ν_i and γ .

We now define the vector field by

$$W' = - \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \gamma_i \lambda_i \frac{\partial w_{g_i, \lambda_i}}{\partial \lambda_i} \right) - \sum_{i=1}^p \beta_i \left(J'(u) \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_i} \frac{\partial w_{g_i, \lambda_i}}{\partial g_i} \right) \right) \cdot \frac{\nabla_{\theta_1} K(g_i)}{|\nabla_{\theta_1} K(g_i)|}. \quad (4.23)$$

From the estimate (4.22), we have (4.2).

Case 2. Suppose there holds

$$- \sum_{j \neq 1} O'(\lambda_1 \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{1j}}{\partial \lambda_1}) > \frac{4(|\Delta_{\theta_1} K(g_1)| + 1)}{\lambda_1^2}. \quad (4.24)$$

In this case, as in (4.20) we define

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_i = & J'(u) \left(\lambda_i \frac{\partial w_{g_i, \lambda_i}}{\partial \lambda_i} \right) + \left| J'(u) \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_i} \frac{\partial w_{g_i, \lambda_i}}{\partial g_i} \right) \right| + \frac{\tilde{c}}{c} \sum_{k \in I_i} \mu_k J'(u) \left(\lambda_k \frac{\partial w_{g_k, \lambda_k}}{\partial \lambda_k} \right) \\ & + B J'(u) \left(\lambda_1 \frac{\partial w_{g_1, \lambda_1}}{\partial \lambda_1} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

A similar construct of W' can be done and the proof of (4.2) is repeated as the case 1 word by word by some mirror modifications.

Case 3. Suppose there holds

$$\sum_{j \neq i} \varepsilon_{ij} \geq \frac{C}{\lambda_1^2}, \quad C \text{ a suitable constant.} \quad (4.26)$$

In this case, we define

$$\Gamma_i = J'(u) \left(\lambda_i \frac{\partial w_{g_i, \lambda_i}}{\partial \lambda_i} \right) + \left| J'(u) \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_i} \frac{\partial w_{g_i, \lambda_i}}{\partial g_i} \right) \right| + \frac{\tilde{c}}{c} \sum_{k \in I_i} \mu_k J'(u) \left(\lambda_k \frac{\partial w_{g_k, \lambda_k}}{\partial \lambda_k} \right). \quad (4.27)$$

Since there holds

$$- \sum_{i=1}^p \nu_i \sum_{j \neq i} O'(\lambda_i \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{ij}}{\partial \lambda_i}) \geq B \sum_{j \neq i} \varepsilon_{ij},$$

so we can define vector field W' and give a similar proof of (4.2) as in case 1.

Case 4. Suppose there holds

$$\sum_{j \neq i} \varepsilon_{ij} < \frac{C}{\lambda_1^2}, \quad \frac{|\nabla_{\theta_1} K(g_1)|}{\lambda_1} < \frac{2}{c^2 \lambda_1^2}. \quad (4.28)$$

the above proof extends as follows.

Subcase 1. Suppose in the sequence $\lambda_1 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_p$, there exists i_1 such that for some $0 \leq r \leq p - i_1$, there holds

$$\sum_{s=0}^r \sum_{j \leq i_1+r, j \neq i_1+s} \varepsilon_{i_1+r,j} \geq \frac{C}{\lambda_{i_1}^2}, \text{ or } \frac{|\nabla_{\theta_1} K(g_{i_1})|}{\lambda_{i_1}} \geq \frac{2}{c^2 \lambda_{i_1}^2}. \quad (4.29)$$

We note that for a choice of (i_1, r_0) satisfying (4.29), then all of (i_1, r) with $r_0 \leq r \leq p - i_1$ satisfies (4.29). Similarly to the case 1 and case 3, we can define a vector field $W(i_1, r)$ in $\text{span}_{i=i_1}^{i_1+r} \left\{ \frac{\partial w_{g_i, \lambda_i}}{\partial \lambda_i}, \frac{\partial w_{g_i, \lambda_i}}{\partial g_i} \right\}$ such that

$$\|W(i_1, r)\| \leq C$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} -J'(u)W(i_1, r) \geq & B \left(\sum_{s=0}^r \sum_{j \leq i_1+r, j \neq i_1+s} \varepsilon_{i_1+s,j} + \sum_{s=0}^r \frac{1}{\lambda_{i_1+s}^2} \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_{s=0}^r \frac{|\nabla_{\theta_1} K(g_{i_1+s})|}{\lambda_{i_1+s}} - \frac{1}{\bar{c}} \sum_{s=0}^r \sum_{j \geq i_1+r+1,} \varepsilon_{i_1+s,j} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.30)$$

Assume i_1 is the smallest subscript satisfying (4.29). Then by choosing $r = p - i_1$, we have

$$-J'(u)W(i_1, p - i_1) \geq B \left(\sum_{k=i_1, j \neq k}^p \varepsilon_{jk} + \sum_{j \geq i_1} \frac{1}{\lambda_j^2} + \sum_{j \geq i_1} \frac{|\nabla_{\theta_1} K(g_j)|}{\lambda_j} \right). \quad (4.31)$$

If $i_1 = 1$, we obtain the result of (4.2).

Otherwise, for integer $l \in [1, i_1)$, there holds

$$\sum_{k \geq l} \sum_{j \neq k} \varepsilon_{jk} \leq \frac{C}{\lambda_l^2} \text{ and } \frac{|\nabla_{\theta_1} K(g_l)|}{\lambda_l} \leq \frac{2}{c^2 \lambda_l^2}. \quad (4.32)$$

From (4.31) and (4.32), then (4.2) is true if

$$\sum_{k=i_1, j \neq k}^p \varepsilon_{jk} + \sum_{j \geq i_1} \frac{1}{\lambda_j^2} + \sum_{j \geq i_1} \frac{|\nabla_{\theta_1} K(g_j)|}{\lambda_j} \geq \frac{B}{\lambda_1^2} \text{ for some } B > 0. \quad (4.33)$$

If (4.33) is not hold, then there holds

$$\sum_{k=i_1, j \neq k}^p \varepsilon_{jk} + \sum_{j \geq i_1} \frac{1}{\lambda_j^2} + \sum_{j \geq i_1} \frac{|\nabla_{\theta_1} K(g_j)|}{\lambda_j} = o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_1^2}\right). \quad (4.34)$$

Combining with (4.32), we have for $j \leq i_1 - 1$,

$$\lambda_j |\nabla_{\theta_1} K(g_j)| \leq \frac{2}{c^2}, \quad |\nabla_{\theta_1} K(g_j)| = o(1).$$

These imply that: for $j \leq i_1 - 1$, g_j is close to a critical point of K which we denoted by η_j , $\lambda_j d(g_j, \eta_j) = O(1)$.

If $i \leq i_1 - 1, j \leq i_1 - 1, \eta_i = \eta_j$, we have

$$|\inf(\lambda_i, \lambda_j)d(g_i, g_j)| = O(1).$$

So if $j < i$,

$$o(1) = \varepsilon_{ij} \geq C\left(\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i}\right)^{2-\gamma} \text{ and } \varepsilon_{ij} \leq \frac{C}{\lambda_i^2} = o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_j^2}\right)$$

and for $1 < j \leq i_1 - 1$ the holds $\frac{1}{\lambda_j^2} = o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_1^2}\right)$. If $i \leq i_1 - 1, j \leq i_1 - 1, \eta_i \neq \eta_j$, we have

$$\varepsilon_{ij} = O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_i \lambda_j}\right)^{2-\gamma} = o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_1^2}\right).$$

Thus together with (4.34), we have

$$\sum_{i \neq j} \varepsilon_{ij} = o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_1^2}\right).$$

Combining (4.32) and (4.34), we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^p \frac{|\nabla_{\theta_1} K(g_i)|}{\lambda_i} = \sum_{i \geq i_1} \frac{|\nabla_{\theta_1} K(g_i)|}{\lambda_i} + \sum_{i < i_1} \frac{|\nabla_{\theta_1} K(g_i)|}{\lambda_i} \leq \frac{c}{\lambda_1^2}.$$

So

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_1^2} \geq B \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \frac{|\nabla_{\theta_1} K(g_i)|}{\lambda_i} + \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{1}{\lambda_i^2} + \sum_{i \neq j} \varepsilon_{ij} \right).$$

Since g_1 is close to a critical point of K which we denoted by η_1 and $\Delta_{\theta_1} K(\eta_1) \neq 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} -J'(u) \left(\lambda_1 \frac{\partial w_{g_1, \lambda_1}}{\partial \lambda_1} \right) &= O' \left(\sum_{j \neq 1} \lambda_1 \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{1j}}{\partial \lambda_1} \right) - c \frac{\Delta_{\theta_1} K(\eta_1)}{\lambda_1^2} (1 + o(1)) \\ &= -c \frac{\Delta_{\theta_1} K(\eta_1)}{\lambda_1^2} + o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_1^2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

If $-\Delta_{\theta_1} K(\eta_1) \geq c > 0$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} -J'(u) \left(\lambda_1 \frac{\partial w_{g_1, \lambda_1}}{\partial \lambda_1} \right) &= -c \frac{\Delta_{\theta_1} K(\eta_1)}{\lambda_1^2} + o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_1^2}\right) \\ &\geq c' \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \frac{|\nabla_{\theta_1} K(g_i)|}{\lambda_i} + \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{1}{\lambda_i^2} + \sum_{i \neq j} \varepsilon_{ij} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now we define the vector field

$$W' = \lambda_1 \frac{\partial w_{g_1, \lambda_1}}{\partial \lambda_1}, \tag{4.35}$$

which satisfies (4.2).

If $-\Delta_{\theta_1} K(\eta_1) \leq -c < 0$, we define

$$W' = -\lambda_1 \frac{\partial w_{g_1, \lambda_1}}{\partial \lambda_1}, \quad (4.36)$$

which also satisfies (4.2).

Subcase 2. Assume that indices i_1 satisfying (4.29) do not exist, i.e., for any $l \in \{1, \dots, p\}$

$$\sum_{k=l}^p \sum_{i \neq k} \varepsilon_{ik} \leq \frac{C}{\lambda_l^2} \text{ and } \frac{|\nabla_{\theta_1} K(g_l)|}{\lambda_l} \leq \frac{2}{c^2 \lambda_l^2}. \quad (4.37)$$

By a direct argument, when $i < j, \eta_i = \eta_j$, we get

$$\inf(\lambda_i, \lambda_j) d(g_i, g_j) = O(1).$$

Thus under the condition (4.37), for some $i < j$

$$o(1) = \varepsilon_{ij} \geq C \left(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j} \right)^{2-\gamma} \text{ and } \varepsilon_{ij} \leq \frac{C}{\lambda_j^2} = o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^2}\right).$$

The construct of vector field is same as (4.35) or (4.38) in the previous subcase. In fact, we have reach that if two λ 's for example λ_i and λ_j are not comparable, the vector field W' can be defined to satisfy (4.2). So in this subcase, we can assume that $\inf_{i \neq j} d(g_i, g_j) \geq d_0 > 0$ and all the λ 's are comparable. Thus we have

$$\sum_{j \neq i} \lambda_i \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{ij}}{\partial \lambda_i} = \sum_{j \neq i} -(2 - \gamma) \varepsilon_{ij} (1 + o(1)) = o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^2}\right).$$

Therefore, we have

$$-J'(u) \left(\lambda_i \frac{\partial w_{g_i, \lambda_i}}{\partial \lambda_i} \right) = -c \frac{\Delta_{\theta_1} K(\eta_i)}{\lambda_i^2} + o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^2}\right).$$

If for some η_i satisfies $-\Delta_{\theta_i} K(\eta_i) \leq -c < 0$, we define

$$W' = -\lambda_i \frac{\partial w_{g_i, \lambda_i}}{\partial \lambda_i}. \quad (4.38)$$

If for all η_i , $-\Delta_{\theta_i} K(\eta_i) \geq c > 0$, Now the construct of vector field is same as

$$W' = \sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i \frac{\partial w_{g_i, \lambda_i}}{\partial \lambda_i}.$$

Since in the cases 1-4, we can adjust some constants to insure that the union of these four case is the whole discussed space, by using a partition of unity, we can define the final vector field W' satisfying (4.2) at all. The proof of Lemma 4.2 is complete. $\square$

LEMMA 4.3. For any $u = \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i} \in V(p, \varepsilon') (\varepsilon' < \frac{\varepsilon}{2})$, there exist $\tilde{g} = (\tilde{g}_1, \dots, \tilde{g}_p)$ and $\tilde{\lambda} = (\tilde{\lambda}_1, \dots, \tilde{\lambda}_p)$ such that

$$J \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i} + \bar{v}(\alpha, g, \lambda) \right) = J \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{\tilde{g}_i, \tilde{\lambda}_i} \right) \quad (4.39)$$

and the following two statements

$$\sum_{i \neq j} \tilde{\varepsilon}_{ij} + \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{1}{\tilde{\lambda}_i^2} \rightarrow 0 \iff \sum_{i \neq j} \varepsilon_{ij} + \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{1}{\lambda_i^2} \rightarrow 0, \quad (4.40)$$

$$d(\tilde{g}_i, g_i) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \sum_{i \neq j} \varepsilon_{ij} + \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{1}{\lambda_i^2} \rightarrow 0. \quad (4.41)$$

Proof. The proof is similar to the one given in [9] and [26].

By lemma 4.2, the vector field W' is Lipschitz. Hence, there is a 1-parameter group h_s generated by W' satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} h_s(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i}) = W'(h_s(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i})), \\ h_0(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i}) = \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i}. \end{cases}$$

For different critical points η'_i s of K with $-\Delta_{\theta_1} K(\eta_i) \geq c > 0$, $i \in \{1, \dots, p\}$ and $\delta < \frac{1}{2} \min\{\text{dist}(\eta_i, \eta_j)\}$, we define $V_\delta(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_p)$ to be the set of (g, λ) satisfying $g_i \in B_\delta(\eta_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, p$.

$J(h_s(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i}))$ and $J(h_s(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i} + \bar{v}(s)))$ are decreasing functions of s . Since $J(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i} + \bar{v}) \leq J(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i})$, there is at most one solution of the equation

$$J \left(h_s \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i} \right) \right) = J \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i} + \bar{v} \right). \quad (4.42)$$

By using Lemma 4.2 and a similar proof as in [6], we can see that the flow line $h_s(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i})$ satisfies the (PS) condition if $u_0 = \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i} \notin V_\delta(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_p)$. *i.e.*, for a small fixed $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, there is $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ such that $h_s(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i})$ remains outside $V(p, \varepsilon_1)$ when $s \geq \varepsilon_0$.

The cases in which there could be no solution of (4.42) are $h_s(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i})$ exits from $V(p, \varepsilon_1)$ or the decreasing flow goes to critical points at infinity.

If $h_s(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i})$ exits from $V(p, \varepsilon_1)$, the flow line have to travel from $V(p, \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2})$ to $V(p, \varepsilon_1)$. By Lemma 4.2, $\frac{\partial}{\partial s} J(h_s(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i}))$ is lower bounded by a constant $\delta_1 > 0$ and $d(\partial V(p, \varepsilon_1), \partial V(p, \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2})) = \delta_2 > 0$. Since $\|W'\| \leq C$, then $J(h_s(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i}))$ decreases at least $\frac{\delta_1 \delta_2}{C}$. However,

$$J \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i} \right) - J \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i} + \bar{v} \right) \rightarrow 0, \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

We can choose $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, there is a solution of (4.42).

If $\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i} \in V_\varepsilon(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_p)$, by Lemma 4.2, it will take an infinity time for the flow to go to infinity. Therefore, at least for a subsequence $s_k, s_k \rightarrow +\infty$,

$$\varepsilon_{ij}(s_k) + \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{1}{\lambda_i^2}(s_k) \rightarrow 0.$$

This implies $\bar{v}(s_k) \rightarrow 0$ and $J(u(s_k)) - J(\bar{u}(s_k)) \rightarrow 0$. Thus

$$\liminf_{s \rightarrow +\infty} J(u(s)) = \liminf_{s \rightarrow +\infty} J(\bar{u}(s)) < J(\bar{u}).$$

By continuity, (4.42) must have a solution.

Similarly, we consider the vector field $-W'$ and the flow line $h_{-s}(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{\tilde{g}_i, \tilde{\lambda}_i})$. It is easy to know that there is a unique solution for

$$J\left(h_{-s}\left(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{\tilde{g}_i, \tilde{\lambda}_i}\right) + \bar{v}\left(h_{-s}\left(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{\tilde{g}_i, \tilde{\lambda}_i}\right)\right)\right) = J\left(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{\tilde{g}_i, \tilde{\lambda}_i}\right).$$

Set

$$h_s\left(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i(s), \lambda_i(s)},$$

and take $(\tilde{g}_i, \tilde{\lambda}_i) = (g_i(s), \lambda_i(s))$, we have (4.39).

As for (4.40) and (4.41), we note that

$$W' = \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_i(s)} \frac{\partial w_{g_i(s), \lambda_i(s)}}{\partial g_i(s)} \right) (\lambda_i(s) \dot{g}_i(s)) + \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i \left(\lambda_i(s) \frac{\partial w_{g_i(s), \lambda_i(s)}}{\partial \lambda_i(s)} \right) \frac{\dot{\lambda}_i(s)}{\lambda_i(s)},$$

where $\dot{g}_i(s)$ and $\dot{\lambda}_i(s)$ denote the action of W' on the variables g_i and λ_i . We have $|\lambda_i \dot{g}_i| \leq C, |\frac{\dot{\lambda}_i}{\lambda_i}| \leq C, i = 1, \dots, p$. Then

$$\left| \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{ij}(s)}{\partial s} \right| = \left| \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{ij}}{\partial \lambda_i} \frac{\partial \lambda_i(s)}{\partial s} + \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{ij}}{\partial \lambda_j} \frac{\partial \lambda_j(s)}{\partial s} + \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{ij}}{\partial g_i} \frac{\partial g_i(s)}{\partial s} + \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{ij}}{\partial g_j} \frac{\partial g_j(s)}{\partial s} \right| \leq C \varepsilon_{ij}(s).$$

Thus,

$$e^{-Cs} \varepsilon_{ij} \leq \varepsilon_{ij}(s) \leq e^{Cs} \varepsilon_{ij}, e^{-Cs} \leq \frac{\lambda_i(s)}{\lambda_i(0)} \leq e^{Cs}, |g_i(s) - g_i| \leq \frac{e^{Cs}}{\lambda_i(0)}.$$

Since the s satisfying (4.42) is bounded, we get (4.40) and (4.41). $\square$

Following from Lemma 4.3, for any $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ small, there are $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\varepsilon_2 > 0$ such that

$$V(p, \varepsilon) \xrightarrow{h_s} V(p, \varepsilon_1) \xrightarrow{h_{-s}} V(p, \varepsilon_2) \supseteq V(p, \varepsilon_1).$$

From Lemma 4.1, Lemma 4.3 and this fact, we can proof Theorem 4.1.

5. The proof of main theorem

For technical reasons, we introduce for $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ small enough, the following subset of Σ as $V_{\varepsilon_0}(\Sigma^+) = \{u \in \Sigma, |u^-|_{L^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}}} < \varepsilon_0\}$.

By Theorem 4.1, there is a covering $\{O_l\}$ of the base space of the bundle $V(p, \varepsilon)$ such that Theorem 4.1 holds on each $\{O_l\}$. For $u = \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i} + v \in V(p, \varepsilon)$, we consider transformation of coordinates $\varphi : (\alpha, g, \lambda, v) \rightarrow (\alpha, \tilde{g}, \tilde{\lambda}, V_l)$, so that (4.1) holds.

We first define a vector field on $V(p, \varepsilon)$ by using a partition of unity η_l on the base space of $(\alpha, \tilde{g}, \tilde{\lambda}, V)$ as $X = W - \sum_{l=1}^m \eta_l V_l$, where $W(\alpha, \tilde{g}, \tilde{\lambda}, V_l) = W'(\alpha, \tilde{g}, \tilde{\lambda})$. Then the vector field in the variables (α, g, λ, v) is defined as $Z = X \circ \varphi$. By direct computation, in every open set O_l we have

$$-J' \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i} + v \right) (Z) = -J' \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{\tilde{g}_i, \tilde{\lambda}_i} \right) (W') + J''(u_0) V_l \cdot V_l + O(\|v\|^2),$$

where $u_0 = \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i} + \bar{v}(\alpha, g, \lambda)$.

We remind that V_l orthogonal to w_{g_i, λ_i} , $\frac{\partial w_{g_i, \lambda_i}}{\partial \lambda_i}$, $\frac{\partial w_{g_i, \lambda_i}}{\partial g_i}$, so by computation,

$$\begin{aligned} J''(u_0) V_l \cdot V_l &= 2\lambda(u_0) \|V_l\|^2 - 4\lambda(u_0)^{\frac{4-\gamma}{2-\gamma}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} P_\gamma u_0 V_l \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} K u_0^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma}} V_l \\ &\quad + \frac{4(4-\gamma)}{2-\gamma} \lambda(u_0)^{\frac{6-\gamma}{2-\gamma}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^3} K u_0^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma}} V_l \right)^2 - \frac{2(2+\gamma)}{2-\gamma} \lambda(u_0)^{\frac{4-\gamma}{2-\gamma}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} K u_0^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma}} V_l^2. \end{aligned}$$

Using the estimates in Appendix A and $Q(v, v)$ is positive definite, we obtain $J''(u_0) V_l \cdot V_l$ is positive definite. So in $V(p, \varepsilon)$, if ε small enough, there holds

$$-J' \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i} + v \right) (Z) \geq C \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \left(\frac{|\nabla_{\theta_1} K(\tilde{g}_i)|}{\tilde{\lambda}_i} + \frac{1}{\tilde{\lambda}_i^2} \right) + \sum_{i \neq j} \tilde{\varepsilon}_{ij} \right).$$

We now suppose that the functional J has no critical point and there holds $\|J'(u)\| \geq C_\varepsilon > 0$ for $u \notin V(p, \frac{\varepsilon}{2})$. On $V(p, \frac{\varepsilon}{2})$, define the vector field $-J'$, and then also via a partition of unity of the two sets $V(p, \varepsilon)$ and $V(p, \frac{\varepsilon}{2})$ to build a global vector field $\bar{Z}(u)$ on $V_{\varepsilon_0}(\Sigma^+)$ from Z and $-J'$. It is easy to see

$$-J'(u)(\bar{Z}) \geq C \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \left(\frac{|\nabla_{\theta_1} K(\tilde{g}_i)|}{\tilde{\lambda}_i} + \frac{1}{\tilde{\lambda}_i^2} \right) + \sum_{i \neq j} \tilde{\varepsilon}_{ij} \right).$$

It is important to insure that any flow line generated by the vector field $\bar{Z}$ with initial condition $u \in V_{\varepsilon_0}(\Sigma^+)$ remains in $V_{\varepsilon_0}(\Sigma^+)$. We have the following lemma.

LEMMA 5.1. $V_{\varepsilon_0}(\Sigma^+)$ is invariant under the flow generated by $\bar{Z}(u)$.

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that $V_{\varepsilon_0}(\Sigma^+)$ is invariant under the negative gradient flow of J .

Suppose $u_0 \in V_{\varepsilon_0}(\Sigma^+)$,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{du(s)}{ds} = -2\lambda(u(s))u(s) + 2\lambda(u(s))^{\frac{4-\gamma}{2-\gamma}} P_\gamma^{-1} K u(s)^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma}}, \\ u(0) = u_0. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$e^{\int_0^s 2\lambda(u(t))dt} u(s) = u_0 + \int_0^s e^{\int_0^t 2\lambda(u(\zeta))d\zeta} 2\lambda(u(t))^{\frac{4-\gamma}{2-\gamma}} P_\gamma^{-1} K u(t)^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma}} dt.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} u(s) &= e^{-\int_0^s 2\lambda(u(t))dt} u_0^+ + e^{-\int_0^s 2\lambda(u(t))dt} \int_0^s e^{\int_0^t 2\lambda(u(\zeta))d\zeta} 2\lambda(u(t))^{\frac{4-\gamma}{2-\gamma}} P_\gamma^{-1} K u(t)^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma}} dt \\ &\quad - e^{-\int_0^s 2\lambda(u(t))dt} u_0^-. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$u^-(s) \leq e^{-\int_0^s 2\lambda(u(t))dt} u_0^-.$$

Set

$$f(s) = e^{-\frac{4}{2-\gamma} \int_0^s 2\lambda(u(t))dt} |u_0^-|_{L^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}}}^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}}.$$

Then $|u^-(s)|_{L^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}}}^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}} \leq f(s)$,

$$f'(s) = -\frac{8\lambda}{2-\gamma} e^{-\frac{4}{2-\gamma} \int_0^s 2\lambda(u(t))dt} |u_0^-|_{L^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}}}^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}} \leq 0.$$

Therefore,

$$|u(s)^-|_{L^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}}} < \varepsilon_0 \text{ for all } s > 0.$$

□

Next, we study the concentration phenomenon of the functional J .

LEMMA 5.2. *Assume that (1.1) has no solution. Then, the set of critical point at infinity of J in Σ^+ lie in $\cup_{p=1}^m V_\delta(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_p)$.*

Proof. From the fact that outside $\cup_p V(p, \frac{3}{4}\varepsilon)$, $-J'(u)(\bar{Z}) \geq C$ and lemma 2.1, we know that if $u_0 \in V_{\varepsilon_0}(\Sigma^+)$, there is $p \in N^*$ and $s_0 > 0$ such that if $\eta(s, u_0)$ denotes the flow line of the vector field Z with initial condition u_0 , that is $\eta(s, u_0)$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \eta(s, u_0) = \bar{Z}(\eta(s, u_0)), \\ \eta(0, u_0) = u_0, \end{cases}$$

$\eta(s, u_0)$ is in $V(p, \frac{3}{4}\varepsilon)$ for $s \geq s_0$, since in $V_{\varepsilon_0}(\Sigma^+)$, $J(u) > 0$.

Assume that for any $s \geq s_0$, $\eta(s, u_0)$ is in $V(p, \frac{3}{4}\varepsilon)$ but outside $V_\delta(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_p)$. It means that $\eta(s, u_0)$ satisfies (PS) condition for $s \geq s_0$. By the construction of the vector field W' , in all the cases of the vector field defined with negative coefficients in direction λ 's, then we have $\lambda_{\max} = \max_{i=1, \dots, p} \lambda_i(s) \leq c$, where c depends only on (s_0, u_0) . But in the cases

of W' being defined by $W' = \lambda_1 \frac{\partial w_{g_1, \lambda_1}}{\partial \lambda_1}$, then λ_1 is not comparable with λ_i for $i \geq 2$, then $\lambda_1(s) < \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_p$ since the vector field does not increase the variables λ_i for $i \geq 2$ (in fact $\lambda_i(s) = \lambda_i(0)$). In these cases, all λ 's are bounded as well. The only case is that the λ 's are comparable, g_i and g_j converges to the different critical points $\eta_i \neq \eta_j$ for all $i \neq j$. This is the case that the flow line entries the set $V_\delta(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_p)$. If $\eta(s, u_0)$ remains out of $V_\delta(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_p)$, then, $-J'(\eta(s, u_0))(\bar{Z}(s, u_0)) \geq C$ since $\{\alpha_i \leq c, \lambda_i \leq c, g_i \in \mathbb{S}^3\}$ is a compact set. Hence, $J(\eta(s, u_0)) = J(\eta(0, u_0)) + \int_0^s J'(u)\bar{Z}(u) \leq J(\eta(0, u_0)) - C(s - s_0)$ tends to $-\infty$, when $s \rightarrow +\infty$, a contradiction to the fact that J is lower bounded. $\square$

LEMMA 5.3. *For any $u = \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i} + v$ in $V_\delta(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_p)$, we have the following expansion of $J(u)$ after changing the variables:*

$$J(u) = S \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \frac{1}{K(\eta_{\beta_i})^{\frac{2-\gamma}{\gamma}}} \right)^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \left(1 - |h|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^p (|g_i^+|^2 - |g_i^-|^2) + c \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{1}{\lambda_i^2} + \|V\|^2 \right),$$

where g_i^+, g_i^- are the coordinates of g_i near η_{β_i} along the stable and unstable manifold for K and $h = (h_1, \dots, h_{p-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{p-1}$ with $h_i = h_i(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p)$, $i = 1, \dots, p-1$ are independent functions.

Proof. From Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 4.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J(u) &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i^2 S}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}} K(g_i) \right)^{\frac{2-\gamma}{2}}} \left[1 - \frac{2-\gamma}{2} \frac{c_2}{S^{\frac{2}{\gamma}}} \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{\alpha_i^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}} \Delta_{\theta_1} K(g_i)}{\sum_{k=1}^p \alpha_k^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}} K(g_k) \lambda_i^2} \right] \\ &\quad + O\left(\sum_{i \neq j} \varepsilon_{ij}\right) + o\left(\sum_{i=1}^p \frac{1}{\lambda_i^2}\right) + \frac{1}{2} J'' \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i} + \bar{v}(\alpha, g, \lambda) \right) V_l \cdot V_l, \end{aligned}$$

From the proof of Lemma 4.2, we have $|\nabla_{\theta_1} K(g_i)| = o(1)$, $\varepsilon_{ij} = o(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^2})$, $|J(u)^{\frac{2-\gamma}{2}} \alpha_i^{\frac{2\gamma}{2-\gamma}} K(g_i) - 1| < \varepsilon$, the expansion of the functional J can be rewritten as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} J(u) &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i^2}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}} K(g_i) \right)^{\frac{2-\gamma}{2}}} \left[S + \frac{c}{S^{\frac{2}{\gamma}} \sum_{k=1}^p \frac{1}{K(g_k)^{\frac{2-\gamma}{\gamma}}}} \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{-\Delta_{\theta_1} K(g_i)}{K(g_i)^{\frac{2}{\gamma}} \lambda_i^2} + o\left(\sum_{i=1}^p \frac{1}{\lambda_i^2}\right) \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} J'' \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i} + \bar{v}(\alpha, g, \lambda) \right) V_l \cdot V_l. \end{aligned}$$

Except the term

$$g(\alpha, g) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i^2}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}} K(g_i) \right)^{\frac{2-\gamma}{2}}},$$

all others are positive on the right hand side of the above equality. Since $g(\alpha, g)$ is homogeneous in the variable α , we have a degenerated critical point $(\bar{\alpha}_1, \dots, \bar{\alpha}_p)$ which satisfies

$$\frac{\bar{\alpha}_i^2 K(g_i)}{\bar{\alpha}_j^2 K(g_j)} = 1.$$

This critical point has an index equal to $p - 1$ (since the critical point corresponds to a maximum),

On the other hand, $g(\alpha, g)$ has a single critical point $\eta = (\eta_{\beta_1}, \eta_{\beta_2}, \dots, \eta_{\beta_p})$ in the g variable. Thus, using the Morse lemma, after a change of variables, we have the following normal form,

$$J(u) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \frac{1}{K(\eta_{\beta_i})^{\frac{2-\gamma}{\gamma}}} \right)^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \left(S - |h|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^p (|g_i^+|^2 - |g_i^-|^2) + c \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{1}{\lambda_i^2} \right) + \|V\|^2.$$

□

For any l -tuple $\tau_l = (i_1, \dots, i_l), 1 \leq i_j \leq m_1, j = 1, \dots, l$, let $c(\tau_l) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^l \frac{1}{K(\eta_{i_j})^{\frac{2-\gamma}{\gamma}}} \right)^{\frac{\gamma}{2}}$ denote the associated critical value. We only consider a simple situation, where for any $\tau \neq \tau', c(\tau) \neq c(\tau')$, and thus order as $c(\tau_1) < \dots < c(\tau_{k_0})$.

From Lemma 5.3 and a deformation lemma (see [3] and [7]) or directly the critical group theory (see [14]), we have

LEMMA 5.4. *If $c(\tau_{l-1}) < a < c(\tau_l) < b < c(\tau_{l+1})$, for any coefficient group G , then*

$$H_q(J_b, J_a) = \begin{cases} 0, & q \neq k(\tau_l), \\ G, & q = k(\tau_l), \end{cases}$$

where $k(\tau_l) = 4l - 1 - \sum_{j=1}^l \text{ind}(K, \xi_{i_j})$.

If X is a topological set, then $\chi(X)$ is its Euler-Poincare characteristic with rational coefficients.

Proof of the theorem. Since we assumed that (1.1) has no solution, $V_{\varepsilon_0}(\Sigma^+)$ is retract by deformation of Σ^+ . Σ^+ is contractible, so $\chi(V_{\varepsilon_0}(\Sigma^+)) = 1$. By Lemma 5.4 and the Morse lemma, we have

$$\chi(V_{\varepsilon_0}(\Sigma^+)) = \sum_{l=1}^{m_1} \sum_{\tau_l=(i_1, \dots, i_l), \eta_{i_j} \in I^+} (-1)^{4l-1-\sum_{j=1}^l \text{ind}(K, \eta_{i_j})}$$

is a contradiction. Therefore, (1.1) has a solution $u_0 \in V_{\varepsilon_0}(\Sigma^+)$.

We claim that $u_0 > 0$, when ε_0 is small enough. Otherwise, we can write $u_0 = u_0^+ - u_0^-$. Multiplying equation (1.1) by u_0^- and integrating, using the fact that $u_0 \in V_{\varepsilon_0}(\Sigma^+)$, we derive

$$\|u_0^-\|^2 \leq C_1 \|u_0^-\|_{L^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}}}^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}} \leq C_2 \|u_0^-\|^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}}.$$

Hence, either $u_0^- = 0$, or $\|u_0^-\| \geq C$, where $C > 0$. Thus we have a contradiction with ε_0 small enough. Therefore $u_0^- = 0$ and $u_0 > 0$.

6. Appendix

We first introduce some well-known inequalities which are from Taylor expansion and some computations.

LEMMA 6.1. *For $\alpha \geq 3$, there exists a constant $M > 0$, such that for any $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, there holds*

$$\left| (a+b)^\alpha - a^\alpha - \alpha a^{\alpha-1}b - \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2}a^{\alpha-2}b^2 \right| \leq M(|b|^\alpha + |a|^{\alpha-3}\inf(|a|^3, |b|^3)). \quad (6.1)$$

In the following four lemmas, we assume $\alpha > 0$.

LEMMA 6.2. [3] *There exists a constant M , such that for any $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $a > 0, a+b > 0$,*

$$|(a+b)^\alpha - a^\alpha - \alpha a^{\alpha-1}b| \leq M(|b|^\alpha + |a|^{\alpha-2}\inf(a^2, b^2)). \quad (6.2)$$

LEMMA 6.3. [3] *There exists a constant M , such that for any $(a_1, \dots, a_p) \in \mathbb{R}^p$,*

$$\left| \left(\sum_{i=1}^p a_i \right)^\alpha - \sum_{i=1}^p a_i^\alpha \right| \leq M \sum_{i \neq j} |a_i|^{\alpha-1} \inf(|a_i|, |a_j|). \quad (6.3)$$

LEMMA 6.4. [3] *There exists a constant M , such that for any $(a_1, \dots, a_p) \in \mathbb{R}^p$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left(\sum_{i=1}^p a_i \right)^\alpha - \sum_{i=1}^p a_i^\alpha - \alpha \sum_{i \neq j} a_i^{\alpha-1} a_j \right| &\leq M \left(\sum_{i \neq j} \sup(|a_i|^{\alpha-2}, |a_j|^{\alpha-2}) \inf(a_i^2, a_j^2) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{i \neq j} \inf(|a_i|^{\alpha-1}, |a_j|^{\alpha-1}) \sup(|a_i|, |a_j|) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (6.4)$$

LEMMA 6.5. [3] *There exists a constant M , such that for any $(a_1, \dots, a_p) \in \mathbb{R}^p$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left(\sum_{i=1}^p a_i \right)^\alpha - \sum_{i=1}^p a_i^\alpha - \alpha a_{i_0}^{\alpha-1} \sum_{i \neq i_0} a_i \right| &\leq M \left(\sum_{i \neq i_0, i \neq k} |a_i|^{\alpha-1} \inf(|a_i|, |a_k|) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{i \neq i_0} |a_{i_0}|^{\alpha-2} \inf(a_{i_0}^2, a_i^2) + \sum_{i \neq i_0} \inf(|a_{i_0}|^{\alpha-1}, |a_i|^{\alpha-1}) \sum_{i \neq i_0} |a_i| \right). \end{aligned} \quad (6.5)$$

6.1. Appendix A. We set

$$J(u) = \frac{\|u\|^2}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^3} K(\zeta) u^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}} \theta_1 \wedge d\theta_1\right)^{\frac{2-\gamma}{2}}} = \frac{N}{D}.$$

We first expand the numerator N as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} N &= \|u\|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} P_\gamma^{\theta_1} u u \theta_1 \wedge d\theta_1 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} P_\gamma^{\theta_1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i} + v \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i} + v \right) \theta_1 \wedge d\theta_1 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i^2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} P_\gamma^{\theta_1} w_{g_i, \lambda_i} w_{g_i, \lambda_i} \theta_1 \wedge d\theta_1 + \sum_{i \neq j} \alpha_i \alpha_j \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} P_\gamma^{\theta_1} w_{g_i, \lambda_i} w_{g_j, \lambda_j} \theta_1 \wedge d\theta_1 \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} P_\gamma^{\theta_1} v v \theta_1 \wedge d\theta_1, \end{aligned}$$

all the other terms are zero since v satisfies conditions. From now on, we denote $a_i = \mathcal{C}^{-1}(g_i)$, $\xi = \mathcal{C}^{-1}(\zeta)$.

Lemma A.1 We have

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^3} P_\gamma^{\theta_1} w_{g_i, \lambda_i} w_{g_i, \lambda_i} \theta_1 \wedge d\theta_1 = \int_{\mathbb{H}^1} P_\gamma^{\theta_0} \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i} \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i} \theta_0 \wedge d\theta_0 = S^{\frac{2}{\gamma}},$$

where S is the sharp Sobolev constant given by

$$S = \inf_{u \in S_1^2(\mathbb{H}^1)} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{H}^1} P_\gamma u u \theta_0 \wedge d\theta_0}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{H}^1} u^{2^*} \theta_0 \wedge d\theta_0\right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}}}, \quad 2^* = \frac{4}{2-\gamma}.$$

Lemma A.2 It holds that for $i \neq j$

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^3} w_{g_i, \lambda_i}^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma}} w_{g_j, \lambda_j} \theta_1 \wedge d\theta_1 = O'(\varepsilon_{ij}).$$

Here $O'(\hbar)$ means that when $|\hbar| \ll 1$, there exist two constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that $C_1 \hbar \leq O'(\hbar) \leq C_2 \hbar$.

Proof. Let $\xi = (x, y, t)$, $a_i = (x_i, y_i, t_i) \in \mathbb{H}^1$.

$$\begin{aligned}
I &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} w_{g_i, \lambda_i}^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma}} w_{g_j, \lambda_j} \theta_1 \wedge d\theta_1 \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{H}^1} \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i}^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma}} \delta_{a_j, \lambda_j} \theta_0 \wedge d\theta_0 \\
&= c_0^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}} \int_{\mathbb{H}^1} \lambda_i^{2+\gamma} \lambda_j^{2-\gamma} \frac{\theta_0 \wedge d\theta_0}{((1 + \lambda_i^2 |x - x_i|^2 + \lambda_i^2 |y - y_i|^2)^2 + \lambda_i^4 (t - t_i + 2x_i y - 2x y_i)^2)^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2}}} \\
&\quad \times \frac{1}{((1 + \lambda_j^2 |x - x_j|^2 + \lambda_j^2 |y - y_j|^2)^2 + \lambda_j^4 (t - t_j + 2x_j y - 2x y_j)^2)^{\frac{2-\gamma}{2}}} \\
&= c_0^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}} \int_{\mathbb{H}^1} \left(\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i}\right)^{2-\gamma} \frac{\theta_0 \wedge d\theta_0}{((1 + |x|^2 + |y|^2)^2 + t^2)^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2}} f_1(x, y, t)^{2-\gamma}},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
f_1(x, y, t)^2 &= \left(1 + \left(\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i}\right)^2 (|x + \lambda_i(x_i - x_j)|^2 + |y + \lambda_i(y_i - y_j)|^2)\right)^2 \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i}\right)^4 (t + \lambda_i^2(t_i - t_j + 2x_j y_i - 2x_i y_j) + 2\lambda_i(y_i - y_j)x - 2\lambda_i(x_i - x_j)y)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\mu = \max(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}, \frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i}, \lambda_i \lambda_j |d_{ij}|^2)$, where

$$d_{ij} = d(a_i, a_j) = ((x_i - x_j)^2 + (y_i - y_j)^2 + (t_i - t_j + 2x_j y_i - 2x_i y_j)^2)^{\frac{1}{4}}.$$

First we assume $\mu = \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}$, If $|\xi| \leq \frac{1}{4} \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}$, we use Taylor expansions in $\mathbb{H}^1$,

$$f_1(x, y, t) = g_1 \left[1 + g_1^{-1} X f(0) x + g_1^{-1} Y f(0) y + g_1^{-1} T_0 f(0) t + O\left(\left(\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i}\right)^2 |\xi|^2\right) \right].$$

Denote

$$g_1 = ((1 + \lambda_j^2 |x_i - x_j|^2 + \lambda_j^2 |y_i - y_j|^2)^2 + \lambda_j^4 (t_i - t_j + 2x_j y_i - 2x_i y_j)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
f_1(x, y, t)^{-(2-\gamma)} &= g_1^{-(2-\gamma)} \left[1 - (2-\gamma) g_1^{-1} X f(0) x - (2-\gamma) g_1^{-1} Y f(0) y \right. \\
&\quad \left. - (2-\gamma) g_1^{-1} T_0 f(0) t + O\left(\left(\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i}\right)^2 |\xi|^2\right) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Thus it yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{B(0, \frac{1}{4} \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j})} \frac{\theta_0 \wedge d\theta_0}{((1 + |x|^2 + |y|^2)^2 + t^2)^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2}}} &= \int_{\mathbb{H}^1} \frac{\theta_0 \wedge d\theta_0}{((1 + |x|^2 + |y|^2)^2 + t^2)^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2}}} + O\left(\left(\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i}\right)^{2\gamma}\right), \\
\int_{B(0, \frac{1}{4} \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j})} \frac{x}{((1 + |x|^2 + |y|^2)^2 + t^2)^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2}}} \theta_0 \wedge d\theta_0 &= 0
\end{aligned}$$

$$\int_{B(0, \frac{1}{4} \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j})} \frac{y}{((1 + |x|^2 + |y|^2)^2 + t^2)^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2}}} \theta_0 \wedge d\theta_0 = 0$$

$$\int_{B(0, \frac{1}{4} \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j})} \frac{t}{((1 + |x|^2 + |y|^2)^2 + t^2)^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2}}} \theta_0 \wedge d\theta_0 = 0$$

$$\int_{B(0, \frac{1}{4} \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j})} \frac{|\xi|^2}{((1 + |x|^2 + |y|^2)^2 + t^2)^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2}}} \theta_0 \wedge d\theta_0 = O\left(\left(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}\right)^{2-2\gamma}\right),$$

We remind that $B^c(0, \frac{1}{4} \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}) = \{\xi \in \mathbb{H}^1, |\xi| \geq \frac{1}{4} \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}\}$. Since $f_1(x, y, t) \geq 1$, we have

$$\int_{B^c(0, \frac{1}{4} \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j})} \frac{1}{((1 + |x|^2 + |y|^2)^2 + t^2)^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2}} f(x, y, t)^{\frac{2-\gamma}{2}}} \theta_0 \wedge d\theta_0 = O\left(\left(\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i}\right)^{2\gamma}\right).$$

Hence when ε_{ij} goes to zero, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I &= c_0^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}} \int_{\mathbb{H}^1} \frac{\theta_0 \wedge d\theta_0}{((1 + |x|^2 + |y|^2)^2 + t^2)^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2}}} \left(\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i}\right)^{2-\gamma} g_1^{-(2-\gamma)} + O\left(\left(\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i}\right)^{2+\gamma}\right) \\ &= O'(\varepsilon_{ij}). \end{aligned}$$

The case $\mu = \frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i}$ is similar to the case $\mu = \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}$.

Then we consider the third case $\mu = \lambda_i \lambda_j |d_{ij}|^2$. In this case,

$$I = c_0^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}} \int_{\mathbb{H}^1} \frac{\theta_0 \wedge d\theta_0}{((1 + |x|^2 + |y|^2)^2 + t^2)^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2}} f_2(x, y, t)^{2-\gamma}},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f_2(x, y, t)^2 &= \left(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j} + \frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i} (|x + \lambda_i(x_i - x_j)|^2 + |y + \lambda_i(y_i - y_j)|^2) \right)^2 \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i} \right)^2 (t + \lambda_i^2(t_i - t_j + 2x_j y_i - 2x_i y_j) + 2\lambda_i(y_i - y_j)x - 2\lambda_i(x_i - x_j)y)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Without loss of generality, we assume $\lambda_i \geq \lambda_j$, therefore

$$f_2(x, y, t) = g_2 \left[1 + g_2^{-1} X f(0) x + g_2^{-1} Y f(0) y + g_2^{-1} T_0 f(0) t + O\left(\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i} g_2^{-1} |\xi|^2\right) \right].$$

$$g_2 = \left(\left(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j} + \lambda_i \lambda_j |x_i - x_j|^2 + \lambda_i \lambda_j |y_i - y_j|^2 \right)^2 + \lambda_i^2 \lambda_j^2 (t_i - t_j + 2x_j y_i - 2x_i y_j)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

By the same arguments used in the first case, we obtain

$$\int_{|\xi| \leq \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{10}} \frac{\theta_0 \wedge d\theta_0}{((1 + |x|^2 + |y|^2)^2 + t^2)^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2}} f_2(x, y, t)^{2-\gamma}} = O'(\varepsilon_{ij}).$$

Let

$$B_1 := \{\xi \in \mathbb{H}^1 \mid |\xi + \lambda_i d_{ij}| \leq \frac{1}{10} \lambda_i d_{ij}\},$$

$$B_2 := \{\xi \in \mathbb{H}^1 \mid |\xi| \leq \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{10}\}.$$

We have

$$\int_{(B_1 \cup B_2)^c} \frac{\theta_0 \wedge d\theta_0}{((1 + |x|^2 + |y|^2)^2 + t^2)^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2}} f_2(x, y, t)^{2-\gamma}} = O(\varepsilon_{ij}^{\frac{2}{2-\gamma}}).$$

On B_1 , we have $|\xi| \geq \frac{9}{10} \lambda_i |d_{ij}|$, we obtain

$$\int_{B_1} \frac{\theta_0 \wedge d\theta_0}{((1 + |x|^2 + |y|^2)^2 + t^2)^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2}} f_2(x, y, t)^{2-\gamma}} = O(\varepsilon_{ij}^{\frac{2}{2-\gamma}}).$$

This completes the proof. □

Similar to the proof of Lemma A.2, we have the following results.

Lemma A.3 (1) It holds that

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^3} w_{g_i, \lambda_i}^{\frac{2}{2-\gamma}} w_{g_j, \lambda_j}^{\frac{2}{2-\gamma}} \theta_1 \wedge d\theta_1 = \int_{\mathbb{H}^1} \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i}^{\frac{2}{2-\gamma}} \delta_{a_j, \lambda_j}^{\frac{2}{2-\gamma}} \theta_0 \wedge d\theta_0 = O(\varepsilon_{ij}^{\frac{2}{2-\gamma}} \ln \varepsilon_{ij}^{-1}).$$

(2) Let $\alpha, \beta > 1$, such that $\alpha + \beta = \frac{4}{2-\gamma}$, $\theta = \inf(\alpha, \beta)$, it holds that

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^3} w_{g_i, \lambda_i}^\alpha w_{g_j, \lambda_j}^\beta \theta_1 \wedge d\theta_1 = \int_{\mathbb{H}^1} \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i}^\alpha \delta_{a_j, \lambda_j}^\beta \theta_0 \wedge d\theta_0 = O(\varepsilon_{ij}^\theta (\ln \varepsilon_{ij}^{-1})^{\frac{2-\gamma}{2}\theta}).$$

Let us consider the denominator D of J ,

$$D^{\frac{2}{2-\gamma}} = \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} K(\zeta) \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i} + v \right)^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}} \theta_1 \wedge d\theta_1.$$

Lemma A.4 If $|v| < \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} K(\zeta) \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i} + v \right)^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}} &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} K(\zeta) \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i} \right)^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}} \\ &\quad + \frac{4}{2-\gamma} \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} K(\zeta) \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i} \right)^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma}} v \\ &\quad + \frac{2(2+\gamma)}{(2-\gamma)^2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} K(\zeta) \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i} \right)^{\frac{2\gamma}{2-\gamma}} v^2 \\ &\quad + O(\|v\|^3). \end{aligned} \tag{6.6}$$

Proof. Using (6.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} K(\zeta) \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i} + v \right)^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}} - \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} K(\zeta) \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i} \right)^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}} \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \frac{4}{2-\gamma} \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} K(\zeta) \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i} \right)^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma}} v - \frac{2(2+\gamma)}{(2-\gamma)^2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} K(\zeta) \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i} \right)^{\frac{2\gamma}{2-\gamma}} v^2 \right| \\
& \leq M_2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^3} |v|^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}} + \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i} \right)^{\frac{3\gamma-2}{2-\gamma}} \inf \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i} \right)^3, |v|^3 \right) \right) \\
& = O(\|v\|^3).
\end{aligned}$$

□

In order to get more information from Lemma A.4, we now estimate the first three terms on the right hand side of (6.6).

Lemma A.4.1

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} K(\zeta) \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i} \right)^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}} \theta_1 \wedge d\theta_1 \\
& = \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}} \left(K(g_i) S^{\frac{2}{\gamma}} + \frac{c_2 \Delta_{\theta_1} K(g_i)}{\lambda_i^2} \right) + O'(\sum_{i \neq j} \varepsilon_{ij}) + o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^2}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where $c_2 = 4c_0^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}} \int_{\mathbb{H}^1} \frac{(x^2+y^2)\theta_0 \wedge d\theta_0}{((1+|x|^2+|y|^2)^2+t^2)^2}$.

Proof. From definition and (2.2), there holds

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^3} K(\zeta) \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i} \right)^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}} \theta_1 \wedge d\theta_1 = \int_{\mathbb{H}^1} \tilde{K}(\xi) \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i} \right)^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}} \theta_0 \wedge d\theta_0,$$

where $\tilde{K} = K \circ \mathcal{C}$. From (6.4) and Lemma A.3, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_{\mathbb{H}^1} \tilde{K}(\xi) \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i} \right)^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}} \theta_0 \wedge d\theta_0 \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \int_{\mathbb{H}^1} \tilde{K}(\xi) \left(\sum_{i=1}^p (\alpha_i \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i})^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}} + \frac{4}{2-\gamma} \sum_{i \neq j} (\alpha_i \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i})^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma}} \alpha_j \delta_{a_j, \lambda_j} \right) \theta_0 \wedge d\theta_0 \right| \\
& \leq M_4 \sum_{i \neq j} \int_{\mathbb{H}^1} \sup \left((\alpha_i \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i})^{\frac{2\gamma}{2-\gamma}}, (\alpha_j \delta_{a_j, \lambda_j})^{\frac{2\gamma}{2-\gamma}} \right) \inf \left((\alpha_i \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i})^2, (\alpha_j \delta_{a_j, \lambda_j})^2 \right) \theta_0 \wedge d\theta_0 \\
& \quad + M_4 \sum_{i \neq j} \int_{\mathbb{H}^1} \inf \left((\alpha_i \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i})^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma}}, (\alpha_j \delta_{a_j, \lambda_j})^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma}} \right) \sup \left(\alpha_i \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i}, \alpha_j \delta_{a_j, \lambda_j} \right) \theta_0 \wedge d\theta_0 \\
& \leq 2M_4 \sum_{i \neq j} (\alpha_i \alpha_j)^{\frac{2}{2-\gamma}} \int_{\mathbb{H}^1} \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i}^{\frac{2}{2-\gamma}} \delta_{a_j, \lambda_j}^{\frac{2}{2-\gamma}} \theta_0 \wedge d\theta_0 \\
& = O\left(\sum_{i \neq j} \varepsilon_{ij}^{\frac{2}{2-\gamma}} \ln \varepsilon_{ij}^{-1} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{H}^1} \tilde{K}(\xi) \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i}^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}} \theta_0 \wedge d\theta_0 &= \int_{\mathbb{H}^1} \left(\tilde{K}(\xi) - \tilde{K}(a_i) \right) \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i}^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}} \theta_0 \wedge d\theta_0 + \tilde{K}(a_i) S^{\frac{2}{\gamma}} \\
&= \tilde{K}(a_i) S^{\frac{2}{\gamma}} + \int_{B(a_i, \varepsilon)} \left(\tilde{K}(\xi) - \tilde{K}(a_i) \right) \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i}^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}} \\
&\quad + \int_{B^c(a_i, \varepsilon)} \left(\tilde{K}(\xi) - \tilde{K}(a_i) \right) \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i}^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_{B^c(a_i, \varepsilon)} \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i}^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}} \theta_0 \wedge d\theta_0 = O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^4}\right).$$

By Taylor expansion, there holds

$$\int_{B(a_i, \varepsilon)} \left(\tilde{K}(\xi) - \tilde{K}(a_i) \right) \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i}^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}} \theta_0 \wedge d\theta_0 = \frac{c_2 \Delta_{\theta_0} \tilde{K}(a_i)}{4\lambda_i^2} + o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^2}\right).$$

Finally we estimate for $i \neq j$, by Lemma A.2, Lemma A.3 and Taylor expansion and Young inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{H}^1} \tilde{K}(\xi) (\delta_{a_i, \lambda_i})^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma}} \delta_{a_j, \lambda_j} \theta_0 \wedge d\theta_0 \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{H}^1} \tilde{K}(a_i) (\delta_{a_i, \lambda_i})^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma}} \delta_{a_j, \lambda_j} + \int_{B(a_i, \varepsilon)} (\tilde{K}(\xi) - \tilde{K}(a_i)) (\delta_{a_i, \lambda_i})^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma}} \delta_{a_j, \lambda_j} \\
&\quad + \int_{B^c(a_i, \varepsilon)} (\tilde{K}(\xi) - \tilde{K}(a_i)) (\delta_{a_i, \lambda_i})^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma}} \delta_{a_j, \lambda_j} \\
&= O(\varepsilon_{ij}) + O'(\varepsilon_{ij}^{\frac{2}{2-\gamma}} \ln \varepsilon_{ij}^{-1}) + o(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^2}) + O(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^{2+\gamma} \lambda_j^{2-\gamma}}).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma A.4.2 We have

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^3} K(\zeta) \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i} \right)^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma}} v = \sum_{i=1}^p O \left(\frac{|\nabla_{\theta_1} K(g_i)|}{\lambda_i} + \frac{1}{\lambda_i^2} \right) \|v\| + O \left(\sum_{i \neq j} \varepsilon_{ij} (\ln \varepsilon_{ij}^{-1})^{\frac{2-\gamma}{2}} \right) \|v\|.$$

Proof. By (2.2), we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^3} K(\zeta) \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i} \right)^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma}} v \theta_1 \wedge d\theta_1 \leq C_0 \int_{\mathbb{H}^1} \tilde{K}(\xi) \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i} \right)^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma}} v \theta_0 \wedge d\theta_0,$$

where C_0 is a constant depending on γ . From (6.3), Hölder inequality and Lemma A.3, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_{\mathbb{H}^1} \tilde{K}(\xi) \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i} \right)^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma}} v \theta_0 \wedge d\theta_0 - \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma}} \int_{\mathbb{H}^1} \tilde{K}(\xi) (\delta_{a_i, \lambda_i})^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma}} v \right| \\
&\leq M_3 \sum_{i \neq j} \int_{\mathbb{H}^1} (\alpha_i \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i})^{\frac{2\gamma}{2-\gamma}} \inf(\alpha_i \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i}, \alpha_j \delta_{a_j, \lambda_j}) |v| \\
&\leq M_3 \sum_{i \neq j} \left(\int_{\mathbb{H}^1} [(\alpha_i \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i})^{\frac{2\gamma}{2-\gamma}} \alpha_j \delta_{a_j, \lambda_j}]^{\frac{4}{2+\gamma}} \right)^{\frac{2+\gamma}{4}} \|v\| \\
&= M_3 \sum_{i \neq j} \left(\int_{\mathbb{H}^1} [(\alpha_i \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i})^{\frac{4(3\gamma-2)}{(2-\gamma)(2+\gamma)}} (\alpha_i \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i} \alpha_j \delta_{a_j, \lambda_j})^{\frac{2+\gamma}{4}}]^{\frac{4}{2+\gamma}} \right)^{\frac{2+\gamma}{4}} \|v\| \\
&\leq M_3 \sum_{i \neq j} \left(\left(\int_{\mathbb{H}^1} (\alpha_i \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i})^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}} \right)^{\frac{3\gamma-2}{2+\gamma}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{H}^1} (\alpha_i \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i} \alpha_j \delta_{a_j, \lambda_j})^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}} \right)^{\frac{4-2\gamma}{2+\gamma}} \right)^{\frac{2+\gamma}{4}} \|v\| \\
&= O(\varepsilon_{ij} (\ln \varepsilon_{ij}^{-1})^{\frac{2-\gamma}{2}}) \|v\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Since v satisfies (2.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{H}^1} \tilde{K}(\xi) (\delta_{a_i, \lambda_i})^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma}} v &= \int_{\mathbb{H}^1} (\tilde{K}(\xi) - \tilde{K}(a_i)) (\delta_{a_i, \lambda_i})^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma}} v \\
&= \int_{B(a_i, \varepsilon)} \left(\nabla_{\theta_0} \tilde{K}(a_i) (\xi - a_i) + O(|\xi - a_i|^2) \right) (\delta_{a_i, \lambda_i})^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma}} v \\
&\quad + \int_{B^c(a_i, \varepsilon)} (\tilde{K}(\xi) - \tilde{K}(a_i)) (\delta_{a_i, \lambda_i})^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma}} v \\
&\leq C \left(\frac{|\nabla_{\theta_0} \tilde{K}(a_i)|}{\lambda_i} + \frac{1}{\lambda_i^2} + \frac{1}{\lambda_i^{2+\gamma}} \right) \|v\|.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma A.4.3 We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{S}^3} K(\zeta) \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i} \right)^{\frac{2\gamma}{2-\gamma}} v^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i^{\frac{2\gamma}{2-\gamma}} K(g_i) \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} w_{g_i, \lambda_i}^{\frac{2\gamma}{2-\gamma}} v^2 \\
&\quad + O(\|v\|^2) \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \frac{|\nabla_{\theta_1} K(g_i)|}{\lambda_i} + \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{1}{\lambda_i^{2\gamma}} + \sum_{i \neq j} \varepsilon_{ij}^{\frac{\gamma}{2-\gamma}} (\ln \varepsilon_{ij}^{-1})^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Using (6.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} K(\zeta) \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i} \right)^{\frac{2\gamma}{2-\gamma}} v^2 \theta_1 \wedge d\theta_1 - \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} K(\zeta) \sum_{i=1}^p (\alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i})^{\frac{2\gamma}{2-\gamma}} v^2 \right| \\
&\leq M_3 \sum_{i \neq j} \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} (\alpha_i \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i})^{\frac{3\gamma-2}{2-\gamma}} \inf(\alpha_i \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i}, \alpha_j \delta_{a_j, \lambda_j}) v^2.
\end{aligned}$$

By Hölder inequality and Lemma A.3, we can easily get,

$$\sum_{i \neq j} \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} (\alpha_i \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i})^{\frac{3\gamma-2}{2-\gamma}} \inf(\alpha_i \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i}, \alpha_j \delta_{a_j, \lambda_j}) v^2 = O \left(\sum_{i \neq j} \varepsilon_{ij}^{\frac{\gamma}{2-\gamma}} (\ln \varepsilon_{ij}^{-1})^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \|v\|^2 \right).$$

Now, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} K(\zeta)(\alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i})^{\frac{2\gamma}{2-\gamma}} v^2 \theta_1 \wedge d\theta_1 \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} K(g_i)(\alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i})^{\frac{2\gamma}{2-\gamma}} v^2 \theta_1 \wedge d\theta_1 + \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} (K(\zeta) - K(g_i))(\alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i})^{\frac{2\gamma}{2-\gamma}} v^2 \theta_1 \wedge d\theta_1 \\
&\leq \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} K(g_i)(\alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i})^{\frac{2\gamma}{2-\gamma}} v^2 \theta_1 \wedge d\theta_1 + \int_{\mathbb{H}^1} (\tilde{K}(\xi) - \tilde{K}(a_i))(\delta_{a_i, \lambda_i})^{\frac{2\gamma}{2-\gamma}} v^2 \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} K(g_i)(\alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i})^{\frac{2\gamma}{2-\gamma}} v^2 \theta_1 \wedge d\theta_1 + \int_{B^c(a_i, \varepsilon)} (\tilde{K}(\xi) - \tilde{K}(a_i))(\delta_{a_i, \lambda_i})^{\frac{2\gamma}{2-\gamma}} v^2 \\
&\quad + \int_{B(a_i, \varepsilon)} (\tilde{K}(\xi) - \tilde{K}(a_i))(\delta_{a_i, \lambda_i})^{\frac{2\gamma}{2-\gamma}} v^2 \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} K(g_i)(\alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i})^{\frac{2\gamma}{2-\gamma}} v^2 \theta_1 \wedge d\theta_1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^{2\gamma}}\right) \|v\|^2 \\
&\quad + \int_{B(a_i, \varepsilon)} \left(\nabla_{\theta_0} \tilde{K}(a_i)(\xi - a_i) + O(|\xi - a_i|^2) \right) (\delta_{a_i, \lambda_i})^{\frac{2\gamma}{2-\gamma}} v^2 \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} K(g_i)(\alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i})^{\frac{2\gamma}{2-\gamma}} v^2 \theta_1 \wedge d\theta_1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^{2\gamma}}\right) \|v\|^2 + O\left(\frac{|\nabla_{\theta_1} K(g_i)|}{\lambda_i}\right) \|v\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Now we can complete the Proof of Lemma 3.1.

$$\begin{aligned}
D^{\frac{2}{2-\gamma}} &= \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}} \left(K(g_i) S_{\gamma}^2 + \frac{c_2 \Delta_{\theta_1} K(g_i)}{\lambda_i^2} \right) + \frac{4}{2-\gamma} \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} K(\zeta) \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i} \right)^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma}} v \\
&\quad + \frac{2(2+\gamma)}{(2-\gamma)^2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} K(\zeta) \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i} \right)^{\frac{2\gamma}{2-\gamma}} v^2 + O'(\sum_{i \neq j} \varepsilon_{ij}) + o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^2}\right) + O(\|v\|^3) \\
&= \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}} K(g_i) S_{\gamma}^2 \right) \left[1 + \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}} K(g_i) S_{\gamma}^2 \right)^{-1} \frac{c_2 \Delta_{\theta_1} K(g_i)}{\lambda_i^2} \right. \\
&\quad + \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}} K(g_i) S_{\gamma}^2 \right)^{-1} \frac{4}{2-\gamma} \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} K(\zeta) \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i} \right)^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma}} v \\
&\quad + \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}} K(g_i) S_{\gamma}^2 \right)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} K(\zeta) \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i} \right)^{\frac{2\gamma}{2-\gamma}} v^2 \\
&\quad \left. + O'(\sum_{i \neq j} \varepsilon_{ij}) + o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^2}\right) + O(\|v\|^3) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore combining Lemma A.1, Lemma A.2 and Lemma A.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
J(u) = & \frac{\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i^2 S}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}} K(g_i)\right)^{\frac{2-\gamma}{2}}} \left[1 - \frac{2-\gamma}{2} \frac{c_2}{S^{\frac{2}{\gamma}}} \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{\alpha_i^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}} \Delta_{\theta_1} K(g_i)}{\sum_{k=1}^p \alpha_k^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}} K(g_k) \lambda_i^2} \right. \\
& - \frac{2}{\sum_{k=1}^p \alpha_k^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}} K(g_k) S^{\frac{2}{\gamma}}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} K(\zeta) \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i} \right)^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma}} v \\
& + \frac{1}{\sum_{k=1}^p \alpha_k^2 S^{\frac{2}{\gamma}}} \|v\|^2 - \frac{2+\gamma}{(2-\gamma) S^{\frac{2}{\gamma}} \sum_{k=1}^p \alpha_k^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}} K(g_k)} \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} K(\zeta) \sum_{i=1}^p (\alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i})^{\frac{2\gamma}{2-\gamma}} v^2 \\
& \left. + O'(\sum_{i \neq j} \varepsilon_{ij}) + o(\sum_{i=1}^p \frac{1}{\lambda_i^2}) + o(\|v\|^2) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

This is the estimate of $J(u)$ in Lemma 3.1.

6.2. Appendix B. We define

$$\lambda(u) = \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^3} K(\zeta) u^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}} \theta_1 \wedge d\theta_1 \right)^{\frac{-(2-\gamma)}{2}},$$

so

$$J(u) = \lambda(u) \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} P_\gamma u u \theta_1 \wedge d\theta_1.$$

By direct computation,

$$\lambda'(u)W = -2\lambda(u)^{\frac{4-\gamma}{2-\gamma}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} K(\zeta) u^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma}} W \theta_1 \wedge d\theta_1.$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
J'(u)W &= \lambda'(u)W \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} P_\gamma u u \theta_1 \wedge d\theta_1 + 2\lambda(u) \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} P_\gamma u W \theta_1 \wedge d\theta_1 \\
&= 2\lambda(u) \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^3} P_\gamma u W \theta_1 \wedge d\theta_1 - \lambda(u)^{\frac{2}{2-\gamma}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} K(\zeta) u^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma}} W \theta_1 \wedge d\theta_1 \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} P_\gamma u u \theta_1 \wedge d\theta_1 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Since for $u_0 = \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i} \in V(p, \varepsilon) \subset \Sigma^+$, we have $\int_{\mathbb{S}^3} P_\gamma u_0 u_0 \theta_1 \wedge d\theta_1 = 1$. Thus

$$J'(u_0)W = 2\lambda(u_0) \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^3} P_\gamma u_0 W - \lambda(u_0)^{\frac{2}{2-\gamma}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} K(\zeta) u_0^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma}} W \right). \quad (6.7)$$

We first take $W = \lambda_j \frac{\partial w_{g_j, \lambda_j}}{\partial \lambda_j}$ in (6.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J'(u) \left(\lambda_j \frac{\partial w_{g_j, \lambda_j}}{\partial \lambda_j} \right) &= 2\lambda(u) \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^3} P_\gamma \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i} \right) \left(\lambda_j \frac{\partial w_{g_j, \lambda_j}}{\partial \lambda_j} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \lambda(u)^{\frac{2}{2-\gamma}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} K(\zeta) \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i} \right)^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma}} \left(\lambda_j \frac{\partial w_{g_j, \lambda_j}}{\partial \lambda_j} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

In the remainder of the part B, we will give some lemmas to complete the proof of (3.1).

Lemma B.1 We have

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^3} P_\gamma(w_{g_i, \lambda_i}) \lambda_i \frac{\partial w_{g_i, \lambda_i}}{\partial \lambda_i} \theta_1 \wedge d\theta_1 = 0.$$

Proof. Since $\int_{\mathbb{S}^3} P_\gamma(w_{g_i, \lambda_i}) w_{g_i, \lambda_i} \theta_1 \wedge d\theta_1 = S^{\frac{2}{\gamma}}$ is independent of λ_i , we get the result. $\square$

Lemma B.2 For $i \neq j$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^3} P_\gamma(w_{g_i, \lambda_i}) \lambda_j \frac{\partial w_{g_j, \lambda_j}}{\partial \lambda_j} \theta_1 \wedge d\theta_1 = O'(\lambda_j \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{ij}}{\partial \lambda_j}).$$

In the proof of this result, the idea is same as that in the proof of Lemma A.2. The details are omitted.

Lemma B.3 There holds

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{S}^3} K(\zeta) \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i} \right)^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma}} \left(\lambda_j \frac{\partial w_{g_j, \lambda_j}}{\partial \lambda_j} \right) \\ &= -\alpha_j^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma}} \frac{2-\gamma}{4} c_2 \frac{\Delta_{\theta_1} K(g_j)}{\lambda_j^2} + \sum_{i \neq j} O'(\lambda_j \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{ij}}{\partial \lambda_j}) + o(\sum_{i \neq j} \varepsilon_{ij}) + o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_j^2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Using (6.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} K(\zeta) \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i} \right)^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma}} \left(\lambda_j \frac{\partial w_{g_j, \lambda_j}}{\partial \lambda_j} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} K(\zeta) \left[\sum_{i=1}^p (\alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i})^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma}} + \frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma} (\alpha_j w_{g_j, \lambda_j})^{\frac{2\gamma}{2-\gamma}} \left(\sum_{i \neq j} \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i} \right) \right] \lambda_j \frac{\partial w_{g_j, \lambda_j}}{\partial \lambda_j} \right| \\ &\leq M_5 \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^3} K(\zeta) \sum_{i \neq j, i \neq k} (\alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i})^{\frac{2\gamma}{2-\gamma}} \inf(\alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i}, \alpha_k w_{g_k, \lambda_k}) \lambda_j \frac{\partial w_{g_j, \lambda_j}}{\partial \lambda_j} \right) \\ &\quad + M_5 \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^3} K(\zeta) \sum_{i \neq j} (\alpha_j w_{g_j, \lambda_j})^{\frac{3\gamma-2}{2-\gamma}} \inf((\alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i})^2, (\alpha_j w_{g_j, \lambda_j})^2) \lambda_j \frac{\partial w_{g_j, \lambda_j}}{\partial \lambda_j} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Together with Lemma B.3.1, Lemma B.3.2, Lemma B.3.3, Lemma B.3.4 and Lemma B.3.5 below, we get a complete proof. $\square$

Lemma B.3.1 We have

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^3} K(\zeta) w_{g_i, \lambda_i}^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma}} \lambda_j \frac{\partial w_{g_j, \lambda_j}}{\partial \lambda_j} \theta_1 \wedge d\theta_1 = -\frac{2-\gamma}{2} c_2 \frac{\Delta_{\theta_1} K(g_i)}{\lambda_i^2} + o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^2}\right).$$

Proof. There hold

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} K(\zeta) w_{g_i, \lambda_i}^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma}} \lambda_j \frac{\partial w_{g_j, \lambda_j}}{\partial \lambda_j} \theta_1 \wedge d\theta_1 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{H}^1} \tilde{K}(\xi) (\delta_{a_i, \lambda_i})^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma}} \lambda_i \frac{\partial \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i}}{\partial \lambda_i} \theta_0 \wedge d\theta_0 \\ &= \frac{2-\gamma}{4} \lambda_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_i} \int_{\mathbb{H}^1} \tilde{K}(\xi) (\delta_{a_i, \lambda_i})^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}} \theta_0 \wedge d\theta_0 \\ &= \frac{2-\gamma}{4} \lambda_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_i} \int_{\mathbb{H}^1} \tilde{K}\left(\frac{\xi}{\lambda_i} + a_i\right) \frac{1}{((1+|x|^2+|y|^2)^2+t^2)^2} \theta_0 \wedge d\theta_0 \\ &= -\frac{2-\gamma}{2} \Delta_{\theta_0} \tilde{K}(a_i) \int_{|\frac{\xi}{\lambda_i}| < \varepsilon} \frac{(x^2+y^2) \theta_0 \wedge d\theta_0}{((1+|x|^2+|y|^2)^2+t^2)^2} \\ &\quad - \frac{2-\gamma}{4} \int_{|\frac{\xi}{\lambda_i}| \geq \varepsilon} \nabla_{\theta_0} \tilde{K}\left(\frac{\xi}{\lambda_i} + a_i\right) \frac{\xi}{\lambda_i} \frac{1}{((1+|x|^2+|y|^2)^2+t^2)^2} \theta_0 \wedge d\theta_0 \\ &\quad + o\left(\int_{|\frac{\xi}{\lambda_i}| < \varepsilon} \left|\frac{\xi}{\lambda_i}\right|^2 \frac{1}{((1+|x|^2+|y|^2)^2+t^2)^2}\right) \\ &= -\frac{2-\gamma}{8} c_2 \frac{\Delta_{\theta_0} \tilde{K}(a_i)}{\lambda_i^2} + o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Lemma B.3.2 For $i \neq j$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^3} K(\zeta) w_{g_j, \lambda_j}^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma}} \lambda_i \frac{\partial w_{g_i, \lambda_i}}{\partial \lambda_i} \theta_1 \wedge d\theta_1 = O'\left(\lambda_i \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{ij}}{\partial \lambda_i}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^{2-\gamma} \lambda_j^{2+\gamma}}\right) + o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^2}\right).$$

Proof. We have the following computations

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} K(\zeta) w_{g_j, \lambda_j}^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma}} \lambda_i \frac{\partial w_{g_i, \lambda_i}}{\partial \lambda_i} \theta_1 \wedge d\theta_1 \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{H}^1} \tilde{K}(\xi) (\delta_{a_j, \lambda_j})^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma}} \lambda_i \frac{\partial \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i}}{\partial \lambda_i} \theta_0 \wedge d\theta_0 \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{H}^1} \tilde{K}(a_j) (\delta_{a_j, \lambda_j})^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma}} \lambda_i \frac{\partial \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i}}{\partial \lambda_i} \theta_0 \wedge d\theta_0 \\
&\quad + \int_{B(a_j, \varepsilon)} (\tilde{K}(\xi) - \tilde{K}(a_j)) (\delta_{a_j, \lambda_j})^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma}} \lambda_i \frac{\partial \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i}}{\partial \lambda_i} \theta_0 \wedge d\theta_0 \\
&\quad + \int_{B^c(a_j, \varepsilon)} (\tilde{K}(\xi) - \tilde{K}(a_j)) (\delta_{a_j, \lambda_j})^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma}} \lambda_i \frac{\partial \delta_{a_i, \lambda_i}}{\partial \lambda_i} \theta_0 \wedge d\theta_0 \\
&= O'(\lambda_i \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{ij}}{\partial \lambda_i}) + o(\varepsilon_{ij}) + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^{2-\gamma} \lambda_j^{2+\gamma}}\right) + O(\varepsilon_{ij}^{\frac{2}{2-\gamma}} \ln \varepsilon_{ij}^{-1}) + o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^2}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

□

By some similar computations, we also get the following result.

Lemma B.3.3 For $i \neq j$, there holds

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} K(\zeta) (w_{g_j, \lambda_j})^{\frac{2\gamma}{2-\gamma}} w_{g_i, \lambda_i} \lambda_j \frac{\partial w_{g_j, \lambda_j}}{\partial \lambda_j} \theta_1 \wedge d\theta_1 \\
&= O'(\lambda_j \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{ij}}{\partial \lambda_j}) + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^{2-\gamma} \lambda_j^{2+\gamma}}\right) + O(\varepsilon_{ij}^{\frac{2}{2-\gamma}} \ln \varepsilon_{ij}^{-1}) + o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^2}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma B.3.4

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} K(\zeta) \sum_{i \neq j, i \neq k} (\alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i})^{\frac{2\gamma}{2-\gamma}} \inf(\alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i}, \alpha_k w_{g_k, \lambda_k}) \lambda_j \frac{\partial w_{g_j, \lambda_j}}{\partial \lambda_j} \theta_1 \wedge d\theta_1 \\
&= O\left(\sum_{i \neq j} \varepsilon_{ij}^{\frac{2}{2-\gamma}} \ln \varepsilon_{ij}^{-1} + \sum_{i \neq k} \varepsilon_{ik}^{\frac{2}{2-\gamma}} \ln \varepsilon_{ik}^{-1}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. From direct computation, we have $|\lambda_j \frac{\partial w_{g_j, \lambda_j}}{\partial \lambda_j}| \leq w_{g_j, \lambda_j}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} K(\zeta) \sum_{i \neq j, i \neq k} (\alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i})^{\frac{2\gamma}{2-\gamma}} \inf(\alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i}, \alpha_k w_{g_k, \lambda_k}) \lambda_j \frac{\partial w_{g_j, \lambda_j}}{\partial \lambda_j} \theta_1 \wedge d\theta_1 \right| \\
& \leq \sum_{i \neq j, i \neq k} \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} (\alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i})^{\frac{2\gamma}{2-\gamma}} (\alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i})^{\frac{2-2\gamma}{2-\gamma}} (\alpha_k w_{g_k, \lambda_k})^{\frac{\gamma}{2-\gamma}} w_{g_j, \lambda_j} \theta_1 \wedge d\theta_1 \\
& \leq C \sum_{i \neq j, i \neq k} \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} (\alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i})^{\frac{2}{2-\gamma}} \left((\alpha_k w_{g_k, \lambda_k})^{\frac{2}{2-\gamma}} + w_{g_j, \lambda_j}^{\frac{2}{2-\gamma}} \right) \theta_1 \wedge d\theta_1 \\
& = O \left(\sum_{i \neq j} \varepsilon_{ij}^{\frac{2}{2-\gamma}} \ln \varepsilon_{ij}^{-1} + \sum_{i \neq k} \varepsilon_{ik}^{\frac{2}{2-\gamma}} \ln \varepsilon_{ik}^{-1} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Similarly, we have the following result, the proof is omitted.

Lemma B.3.5

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} K(\zeta) \sum_{i \neq j} (\alpha_j w_{g_j, \lambda_j})^{\frac{3\gamma-2}{2-\gamma}} \inf((\alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i})^2, (\alpha_j w_{g_j, \lambda_j})^2) \lambda_j \frac{\partial w_{g_j, \lambda_j}}{\partial \lambda_j} \theta_1 \wedge d\theta_1 \\
& = O \left(\sum_{i \neq j} \varepsilon_{ij}^{\frac{2}{2-\gamma}} \ln \varepsilon_{ij}^{-1} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

By using the lemmas above, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
J'(u) \left(\lambda_j \frac{\partial w_{g_j, \lambda_j}}{\partial \lambda_j} \right) &= 2\lambda(u) \left[- \sum_{i \neq j} O'(\lambda_j \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{ij}}{\partial \lambda_j}) + \frac{2-\gamma}{4} c_2 \alpha_j \frac{\Delta_{\theta_1} K(g_j)}{K(g_j) \lambda_j^2} \right. \\
&\quad \left. o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_j^2}\right) + o\left(\sum_{i \neq j} \varepsilon_{ij}\right) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

So we obtain the desired estimate of (3.1).

6.3. Appendix C. In this section, we take $W = \frac{1}{\lambda_j} \frac{\partial w_{g_j, \lambda_j}}{\partial g_j}$ in (6.7) and complete the proof of (3.2).

$$\begin{aligned}
J'(u) \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_j} \frac{\partial w_{g_j, \lambda_j}}{\partial g_j} \right) &= 2\lambda(u) \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^3} P_\gamma \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i} \right) \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_j} \frac{\partial w_{g_j, \lambda_j}}{\partial g_j} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \lambda(u)^{\frac{2}{2-\gamma}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} K(\zeta) \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i} \right)^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma}} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_j} \frac{\partial w_{g_j, \lambda_j}}{\partial g_j} \right) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

By the same reason of Lemma B.1, we have the following result.

Lemma C.1 We have

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^3} P_\gamma(w_{g_j, \lambda_j}) \frac{1}{\lambda_j} \frac{\partial w_{g_j, \lambda_j}}{\partial g_j} \theta_1 \wedge d\theta_1 = 0.$$

Lemma C.2 We have

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^3} P_\gamma(w_{g_i, \lambda_i}) \frac{1}{\lambda_j} \frac{\partial w_{g_j, \lambda_j}}{\partial g_j} \theta_1 \wedge d\theta_1 = O'(\frac{1}{\lambda_j} \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{ij}}{\partial g_j}).$$

Proof. By some similar computations as in the proof of Lemma B.2, we can complete the proof of Lemma C.2. The details are omitted. $\square$

Lemma C.3 We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} K(\zeta) \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i} \right)^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma}} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_j} \frac{\partial w_{g_j, \lambda_j}}{\partial g_j} \right) \\ &= \alpha_j^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma}} \frac{2-\gamma}{2} c_2 \frac{\nabla_{\theta_1} K(g_j)}{\lambda_j} + \sum_{i \neq j} O'(\frac{1}{\lambda_j} \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{ij}}{\partial g_j}) + O(\sum_{i \neq j} \varepsilon_{ij}) + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_j^2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Using (6.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} K(\zeta) \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i} \right)^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma}} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_j} \frac{\partial w_{g_j, \lambda_j}}{\partial g_j} \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} K(\zeta) \left[\sum_{i=1}^p (\alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i})^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma}} + \frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma} (\alpha_j w_{g_j, \lambda_j})^{\frac{2\gamma}{2-\gamma}} \left(\sum_{i \neq j} \alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i} \right) \right] \frac{1}{\lambda_j} \frac{\partial w_{g_j, \lambda_j}}{\partial g_j} \right| \\ & \leq M_5 \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^3} K(\zeta) \sum_{i \neq j, i \neq k} (\alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i})^{\frac{2\gamma}{2-\gamma}} \inf(\alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i}, \alpha_k w_{g_k, \lambda_k}) \frac{1}{\lambda_j} \frac{\partial w_{g_j, \lambda_j}}{\partial g_j} \right) \\ & \quad + M_5 \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^3} K(\zeta) \sum_{i \neq j} (\alpha_j w_{g_j, \lambda_j})^{\frac{3\gamma-2}{2-\gamma}} \inf((\alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i})^2, (\alpha_j w_{g_j, \lambda_j})^2) \frac{1}{\lambda_j} \frac{\partial w_{g_j, \lambda_j}}{\partial g_j} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Together with Lemma C.3.1, Lemma C.3.2, Lemma C.3.3, Lemma C.3.4, and Lemma C.3.5, we get the desired results. $\square$

Lemma C.3.1 We have

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^3} K(\zeta) (w_{g_j, \lambda_j})^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma}} \frac{1}{\lambda_j} \frac{\partial w_{g_j, \lambda_j}}{\partial g_j} \theta_1 \wedge d\theta_1 = \frac{2-\gamma}{4} c_2 \frac{\nabla_{\theta_1} K(g_j)}{\lambda_j} + O(\frac{1}{\lambda_j^2}).$$

Proof. We understand the vectors in the following formula as row vectors, then there holds

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} K(\zeta) (w_{g_j, \lambda_j})^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma}} \frac{1}{\lambda_j} \frac{\partial w_{g_j, \lambda_j}}{\partial g_j} \theta_1 \wedge d\theta_1 \\ &= \left[\int_{\mathbb{H}^1} \tilde{K}(\xi) (\delta_{a_j, \lambda_j})^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma}} \frac{1}{\lambda_j} \frac{\partial \delta_{a_j, \lambda_j}}{\partial a_j} \theta_0 \wedge d\theta_0 \right] d\mathcal{C}^{-1}|_{g_j}. \end{aligned}$$

On the right hand side, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{H}^1} \tilde{K}(\xi) (\delta_{a_j, \lambda_j})^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma}} \frac{1}{\lambda_j} \frac{\partial \delta_{a_j, \lambda_j}}{\partial a_j} \theta_0 \wedge d\theta_0 \\
&= \int_{B(a_j, \varepsilon)} (\tilde{K}(\xi) - \tilde{K}(a_j)) (\delta_{a_j, \lambda_j})^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma}} \frac{1}{\lambda_j} \frac{\partial \delta_{a_j, \lambda_j}}{\partial a_j} \\
&\quad + \int_{B^c(a_j, \varepsilon)} (\tilde{K}(\xi) - \tilde{K}(a_j)) (\delta_{a_j, \lambda_j})^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma}} \frac{1}{\lambda_j} \frac{\partial \delta_{a_j, \lambda_j}}{\partial a_j} \\
&= \int_{B(a_j, \varepsilon)} \nabla_{\theta_0} \tilde{K}(a_j) (\xi - a_j) (\delta_{a_j, \lambda_j})^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma}} \frac{1}{\lambda_j} \frac{\partial \delta_{a_j, \lambda_j}}{\partial a_j} + O\left(\int_{B(a_j, \varepsilon)} (\delta_{a_j, \lambda_j})^{\frac{5}{2-\gamma}} |\xi - a_j|^3\right) \\
&\quad + \int_{B^c(a_j, \varepsilon)} (\tilde{K}(\xi) - \tilde{K}(a_j)) (\delta_{a_j, \lambda_j})^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma}} \frac{1}{\lambda_j} \frac{\partial \delta_{a_j, \lambda_j}}{\partial a_j} \theta_0 \wedge (d\theta_0)^n \\
&= \frac{2-\gamma}{4} c_2 \frac{\nabla_{\theta_0} \tilde{K}(a_j)}{\lambda_j} + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_j^2}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma C.3.2 For $i \neq j$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^3} K(\zeta) (w_{g_i, \lambda_i})^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma}} \frac{1}{\lambda_j} \frac{\partial w_{g_j, \lambda_j}}{\partial g_j} \theta_1 \wedge d\theta_1 = O'\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_j} \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{ij}}{\partial g_j}\right) + o(\varepsilon_{ij}) + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^{2+\gamma} \lambda_j^{2-\gamma}}\right).$$

Proof. Similarly as in the proof of Lemma C.3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} K(\zeta) (w_{g_i, \lambda_i})^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma}} \frac{1}{\lambda_j} \frac{\partial w_{g_j, \lambda_j}}{\partial g_j} \theta_1 \wedge d\theta_1 \\
&= \left[\int_{\mathbb{H}^1} \tilde{K}(\xi) (\delta_{a_i, \lambda_i})^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma}} \frac{1}{\lambda_j} \frac{\partial \delta_{a_j, \lambda_j}}{\partial a_j} \theta_0 \wedge d\theta_0 \right] d\mathcal{C}^{-1}|_{g_j},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{H}^1} \tilde{K}(\xi) (\delta_{a_i, \lambda_i})^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma}} \frac{1}{\lambda_j} \frac{\partial \delta_{a_j, \lambda_j}}{\partial a_j} \theta_0 \wedge d\theta_0 \\
&= \tilde{K}(a_i) \frac{1}{\lambda_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial a_j} \int_{\mathbb{H}^1} (\delta_{a_i, \lambda_i})^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma}} \delta_{a_j, \lambda_j} \theta_0 \wedge d\theta_0 \\
&\quad + \int_{B(a_i, \varepsilon)} (\tilde{K}(\xi) - \tilde{K}(a_i)) (\delta_{a_i, \lambda_i})^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma}} \frac{1}{\lambda_j} \frac{\partial \delta_{a_j, \lambda_j}}{\partial a_j} \theta_0 \wedge d\theta_0 \\
&\quad + \int_{B^c(a_i, \varepsilon)} (\tilde{K}(\xi) - \tilde{K}(a_i)) (\delta_{a_i, \lambda_i})^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2-\gamma}} \frac{1}{\lambda_j} \frac{\partial \delta_{a_j, \lambda_j}}{\partial a_j} \theta_0 \wedge d\theta_0 \\
&= O'\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_j} \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{ij}}{\partial a_j}\right) + o(\varepsilon_{ij}) + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^{2+\gamma} \lambda_j^{2-\gamma}}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Similarly we have the following result, but its proof is more simple.

Lemma C.3.3 For $i \neq j$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^3} K(\zeta) (w_{g_j, \lambda_j})^{\frac{2\gamma}{2-\gamma}} w_{g_i, \lambda_i} \frac{1}{\lambda_j} \frac{\partial w_{g_j, \lambda_j}}{\partial g_j} \theta_0 \wedge d\theta_0 = O(\varepsilon_{ij}).$$

Lemma C.3.4 We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} K(\zeta) \sum_{i \neq j, i \neq k} (\alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i})^{\frac{2\gamma}{2-\gamma}} \inf(\alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i}, \alpha_k w_{g_k, \lambda_k}) \frac{1}{\lambda_j} \frac{\partial w_{g_j, \lambda_j}}{\partial g_j} \theta_0 \wedge d\theta_0 \\ &= O \left(\sum_{i \neq j} \varepsilon_{ij}^{\frac{2}{2-\gamma}} \ln \varepsilon_{ij}^{-1} + \sum_{i \neq k} \varepsilon_{ik}^{\frac{2}{2-\gamma}} \ln \varepsilon_{ik}^{-1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Through direct computation, we have $|\frac{1}{\lambda_j} \frac{\partial w_{g_j, \lambda_j}}{\partial g_j}| \leq w_{g_j, \lambda_j}$. Using Lemma A.2, we get the result. $\square$

We also have the following result, its proof is omitted.

Lemma C.3.5 We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} K(\zeta) \sum_{i \neq j} (\alpha_j w_{g_j, \lambda_j})^{\frac{3\gamma-2}{2-\gamma}} \inf((\alpha_i w_{g_i, \lambda_i})^2, (\alpha_j w_{g_j, \lambda_j})^2) \frac{1}{\lambda_j} \frac{\partial w_{g_j, \lambda_j}}{\partial g_j} \theta_0 \wedge d\theta_0 \\ &= O \left(\sum_{i \neq j} \varepsilon_{ij}^{\frac{2}{2-\gamma}} \ln \varepsilon_{ij}^{-1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By using the lemmas above, we have

$$J'(u) \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_j} \frac{\partial w_{g_j, \lambda_j}}{\partial g_j} \right) = -2\lambda(u) \alpha_j c_2 \frac{\nabla_{\theta_1} K(g_j)}{K(g_j) \lambda_j} + O \left(\sum_{i \neq j} \varepsilon_{ij} + \frac{1}{\lambda_j^2} \right).$$

This is the desired estimate of (3.2).

References

- [1] Robert A. Adams. *Sobolev spaces*. Academic Press [A subsidiary of Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York-London, 1975. Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 65.
- [2] Antonio Ambrosetti and Andrea Malchiodi. *Perturbation methods and semilinear elliptic problems on $\mathbf{R}^n$* , volume 240 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2006.
- [3] A. Bahri. *Critical points at infinity in some variational problems*, volume 182 of *Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series*. Longman Scientific & Technical, Harlow; copublished in the United States with John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1989.
- [4] A. Bahri and H. Brezis. Non-linear elliptic equations on Riemannian manifolds with the Sobolev critical exponent. In *Topics in geometry*, volume 20 of *Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.*, pages 1–100. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1996.
- [5] A. Bahri and J.-M. Coron. On a nonlinear elliptic equation involving the critical Sobolev exponent: the effect of the topology of the domain. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 41(3):253–294, 1988.
- [6] A. Bahri and J.-M. Coron. The scalar-curvature problem on the standard three-dimensional sphere. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 95(1):106–172, 1991.

- [7] A. Bahri and P. H. Rabinowitz. Periodic solutions of Hamiltonian systems of 3-body type. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, 8(6):561–649, 1991.
- [8] Abbas Bahri. An invariant for Yamabe-type flows with applications to scalar-curvature problems in high dimension. *Duke Math. J.*, 81(2):323–466, 1996. A celebration of John F. Nash, Jr.
- [9] Mohamed Ben Ayed, Yansong Chen, Hichem Chtioui, and Mokhles Hammami. On the prescribed scalar curvature problem on 4-manifolds. *Duke Math. J.*, 84(3):633–677, 1996.
- [10] Thomas Bieske. On ∞ -harmonic functions on the Heisenberg group. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 27(3-4):727–761, 2002.
- [11] Thomas P. Branson, Luigi Fontana, and Carlo Morpurgo. Moser-Trudinger and Beckner-Onofri’s inequalities on the CR sphere. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 177(1):1–52, 2013.
- [12] H. Brezis and J.-M. Coron. Convergence of solutions of H -systems or how to blow bubbles. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 89(1):21–56, 1985.
- [13] Luis Caffarelli and Luis Silvestre. An extension problem related to the fractional Laplacian. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 32(7-9):1245–1260, 2007.
- [14] Kung-ching Chang. *Infinite-dimensional Morse theory and multiple solution problems*. Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications, 6. Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 1993.
- [15] Sun-Yung Alice Chang and María del Mar González. Fractional Laplacian in conformal geometry. *Adv. Math.*, 226(2):1410–1432, 2011.
- [16] Sun-Yung Alice Chang and Paul C. Yang. Prescribing Gaussian curvature on S^2 . *Acta Math.*, 159(3-4):215–259, 1987.
- [17] Guoyuan. Chen and Youquan Zheng. Concentration phenomenon for fractional nonlinear Schrödinger equations. *arXiv:1305.4426*.
- [18] Guoyuan Chen and Youquan Zheng. A perturbation result for the curvature problem on S^n . *Non-linear Analysis*, 97(0):4 – 14, 2014.
- [19] Hichem Chtioui, Khalil El Mehdi, and Najoua Gamara. The Webster scalar curvature problem on the three dimensional CR manifolds. *Bull. Sci. Math.*, 131(4):361–374, 2007.
- [20] Sorin Dragomir and Giuseppe Tomassini. *Differential geometry and analysis on CR manifolds*, volume 246 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2006.
- [21] G. B. Folland and E. M. Stein. Estimates for the $\bar{\partial}_b$ complex and analysis on the Heisenberg group. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 27:429–522, 1974.
- [22] Rupert L. Frank, María del Mar González, Dario D. Monticelli, and Jinggang Tan. An extension problem for the CR fractional Laplacian. *Advances in Mathematics*, 270:97–137, 2015.
- [23] Rupert L. Frank and Elliott H. Lieb. Inversion positivity and the sharp Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 39(1-2):85–99, 2010.
- [24] Rupert L. Frank and Elliott H. Lieb. Sharp constants in several inequalities on the Heisenberg group. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 176(1):349–381, 2012.
- [25] Najoua Gamara. The CR Yamabe conjecture—the case $n = 1$. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 3(2):105–137, 2001.
- [26] Najoua Gamara. The prescribed scalar curvature on a 3-dimensional CR manifold. *Adv. Nonlinear Stud.*, 2(3):193–235, 2002.
- [27] Najoua Gamara and Ridha Yacoub. CR Yamabe conjecture—the conformally flat case. *Pacific J. Math.*, 201(1):121–175, 2001.
- [28] Zheng-Chao Han. Prescribing Gaussian curvature on S^2 . *Duke Math. J.*, 61(3):679–703, 1990.
- [29] David Jerison and John M. Lee. The Yamabe problem on CR manifolds. *J. Differential Geom.*, 25(2):167–197, 1987.
- [30] David Jerison and John M. Lee. Extremals for the Sobolev inequality on the Heisenberg group and the CR Yamabe problem. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 1(1):1–13, 1988.
- [31] David Jerison and John M. Lee. Intrinsic CR normal coordinates and the CR Yamabe problem. *J. Differential Geom.*, 29(2):303–343, 1989.

- [32] John M. Lee and Thomas H. Parker. The Yamabe problem. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, 17(1):37–91, 1987.
- [33] Yan Yan Li. Prescribing scalar curvature on S^n and related problems. I. *J. Differential Equations*, 120(2):319–410, 1995.
- [34] Elliott H. Lieb. Sharp constants in the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev and related inequalities. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 118(2):349–374, 1983.
- [35] Elliott H. Lieb and Michael Loss. *Analysis*, volume 14 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, second edition, 2001.
- [36] Andrea Malchiodi and Francesco Uguzzoni. A perturbation result for the Webster scalar curvature problem on the CR sphere. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, 81(10):983–997, 2002.
- [37] Juan J. Manfredi and Bianca Stroffolini. A version of the Hopf-Lax formula in the Heisenberg group. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 27(5-6):1139–1159, 2002.
- [38] Eljazi Salem and Najoua Gamara. The Webster scalar curvature revisited: the case of the three dimensional CR sphere. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 42(1-2):107–136, 2011.

NANKAI UNIVERSITY, TIANJIN 300071, P. R. CHINA.

E-mail address: liucg@nankai.edu.cn(for Chungeng Liu), wangyafang4727052@126.com(for Yafang Wang)